



**CHANDIGARH POLICE**  
**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE**  
**AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

**Appeal Order No.** D - 246 - 248/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 21/05/2026

**Name of Appellant** : Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria  
R/o House No. 1251, Sector-21 B,  
Chandigarh.

**Concerned CPIO** : CPIO/Central

**Date of RTI application** : 09.03.2026

**Reply of CPIO** : 08.04.2026

**Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal** : 21.04.2026

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 21.04.2026 against the disposal of his RTI application dated 09.03.2026 by CPIO/Central with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

**Information sought vide RTI application :-**

The appellant vide his RTI application had sought copy of DDR No. 53 dated 08.10.2025 lodged in PP-22, Chandigarh along with all the statements recorded, comments, notings, CCTV footage and action taken report.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/Central :-**

CPIO/Central vide his comments submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to supply the information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO PS-17, Chandigarh who reported that the appellant has neither established any relationship with the individual concerned to said DDR nor demonstrated any larger public interest in seeking the requisite information. Therefore, information was denied under section 8(1) (j) of RTI Act, 2005, pertaining to third party. Moreover, in regard to request for CCTV footage, no specific date, time and location has been mentioned by the appellant. Appellant was apprised about the same vide office letter No. 90/RTI-26/CPIO-Central dated 08.04.2026.

**DECISION :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide his RTI application had sought copy of DDR No. 53 dated 08.10.2025 lodged in PP-22, Chandigarh along with all the statements recorded, comments, notings, CCTV footage and action taken report.

Now as far as appeal is concerned, appellant has mentioned that the information is pertaining to alleged complaint bearing no. PW 202601998 registered by Dr. Deepak Koshal against the appellant and is related with DDR No. 53 dated 08.10.2025. However, as per the status of ICMS portal, complaint bearing no. ICMS/2026/001998 is under enquiry. Therefore, requisite information cannot be provided at this stage as per the provisions of section 8 (1) (h) of RTI Act, as providing the same may hamper the process of enquiry.

Moreover, in regard to request for CCTV footage, appellant has not mentioned any specific date, time and location. Therefore, the sought information cannot be provided in the absence of date, time and location.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



**(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)**  
**Senior Superintendent of Police**  
**Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-**  
**1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.**

**Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria**  
**R/o House No. 1251,**  
**Sector-21 B, Chandigarh.**

**Copies to :-**

- 1 CPIO/Central
- 2 Computer Section