

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HQs.-CUM-APPELLATE AUTHORITY-TRAINING, UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

Order on First Appeal u/s 19 RTI Act.

This is an order on the online First Appeal bearing no. PODEP/A/E/20/00033 on 17.05.2020 preferred by Ms. Neetu R/o 144, VPO Jarout, Teh. Dera Bassi, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab under RTI Act 2005, received in this office online through RTI Request & Appeal Management Information System (RTI-MIS), against disposal of her online RTI Application bearing no. PODEP/R/E/20/00118 dated 12.04.2020 by CPIO/Training.

- 1. The appellant, vide her online RTI application under RTI Act 2005, had requested to Nodal Officer of RTI/PHQs. to supply her some information pertaining to recruitment of 520 constables in Chandigarh Police in 07 points. Nodal Officer further transferred the RTI application to CPIO/Training and the same was disposed of on 08.05.2020.
- The appellant, not satisfied with the manner in which her application was disposed of by CPIO/Training filed the instant appeal requesting that she may be allowed to inspect related documents and videography.
- The comments of DSP-cum-CPIO/Training have been called for. She has reported that the appellant filed an online RTI application/request on 12.04.2020 vide No. PODEP/R/E/20/00118 to supply the information regarding the CBT exam of 520 constables on seven points. The application was sent to the In-charge Recruitment Cell (CAPIO) for reply on 13.04.2020 as no record regarding the CBT exam was with her office. In-charge Recruitment Cell replied on 04.05.2020 that five candidates were scheduled for 20 December 2018 in Chandigarh, out of which 3 candidates appeared for the exam and none of them got selected on the merit list. The secrecy committee dealt with CBT exam. Hence the other desired/sought information (name of secrecy officer appointed) has been denied under section 8(1) (j) of the Right to information Act-2005. Further, the same reply/requisite information was online disposed of/sent online to the appellant on 08.05.2020.
- I have gone through the RTI appeal, vis-a-vis facts of the RTI application & comments by CPIO/Training. The appellant, through RTI application, had sought information relating to CBT exam of 520 constables recently conducted by Chandigarh Police. The information sought by the appellant, supplied by the CPIO, grievance of the appellant and the order/decision of the undersigned being first appellate authority is given below:

below: Information sought in RTI application	Information supplied by CPIO	Grievance raised in first appeal	Decision of the First Appellant Authority.
Point No.1 Kindly provide me information as how many candidates were scheduled for 20th December 2018. Point No.2 Kindly provide me information on the reason why they were scheduled separately. Point No.3 Kindly provide me information of Total 05 candidates with their name and roll numbers.	Point No.1 to 5 As informed by Service Provider engaged for the conduct of CBT, five candidates were scheduled for 20 th December, 2018 in Chandigarh, who could not appear in CBT earlier due to technical issue based on biometric and Aadhar Authentication. Out of Which only three	is custodian of such information of all documents. I request you to please	Point no. 1 & 2 The undersigned being appellate authority agrees with the information supplied by the CPIO that 5 candiates were scheduled for 20.12.18 who could not appear in exam due to technical issue based or biometric and adharauthentication. Point No. 3

Point No. 4 information in hardcopy/ soft candidates appeared for The undersigned agrees with copy, I can pay amount for all Point No.4 the exam on that day in the information provided by the the Please provide me pages. information of 03 Candidates Chandigarh and none of CPIO. them got selected in the appeared for the exam with list. Further, merit their names and roll numbers regarding details Point No. 5 on 20th December. candidates. these The CPIO is directed to Point No.5 information is denied provide the information, free of provide me Please under section 8(1) (j) of cost, within 10 days of issue of information where was exam the Right to information center located and was exam this order. Act-2005. online or offline. Point No. 6 Point No. 6 Point No.6 I agree with the information The Secrecy Committee committee was Which dealt with the CBT given by the CPIO. constituted for considering exam on 20th December 2018, exam. exam after 32 days of original exam (held on 15th, 16th, 17th November 2018) for all Point No. 7 candidates I agree with the information Point No. 07 Point No. 7 The desired information denied by the CPIO. Kindly provide me hash value being the third party (MD5 algorithm) of CBT of 20th information is denied December 2018 exam and which secrecy officer was under section 8(1) (j) of the Right to information appointed for generated and stored Medias and submitted Act-2005 the storage medias from master centralized data center to the Chandigarh police. Point No. 8 Point No. 8 The appellant in her RTI Please provide me 20th application had sought 2018 exam December information only on 7 points. videography of exam centre. Now in her RTI appeal she has put forward an 8th point. In the RTI appeal she can contest information she had sought in RTI application. She can not raise a new question/point in RTI appeal.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

The appellant, if not satisfied with the disposal of appeal in the manner as above can file second appeal with the Hon'ble Chief Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munrika, New Delhi-110067 within a period of 90 days.

> 10/6/2020. Manoj Kumar Meena, IPS Superintendent of Police, HQs., -cum-Appellate Authority/Training, U.T., Chandigarh.

Ms. Neetu R/o 144, VPO Jarout, Teh. Dera Bassi, S.A.S Nagar, Mohali, Punjab

No. D-1043-45 /RTI/SP/HQs. dated Chandigarh, the: - 10-6-20

Copies to the: -

 D8P-cum-CPIO/Training for necessary action. I/C Computer Section for updating the Web.

He. Sanjay Kuman Kenh Inspleambee 11/06/2020