OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-176-178 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 21.05.2020

Name of Appellant	:	Sh. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary, R/o H. No. 2206, Sector 45 C Chandigarh.
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/South
Date of RTI application	:	01.04.2020
Date of CPIO's Reply	:	16.04.2020
Date of 1st Appeal	:	25.04.2020

O R D E R

****

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal bearing no. PODEP/A/E/20/00019 dated 25.04.2020 against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with his RTI application bearing no. PODEP/R/E/20/00098 dated 01.04.2020 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide no. 37/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 27.04.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application :-

The appellant had sought information on 09 points about case FIR no. 284 dated 07.08.2018 u/s 379, 34 IPC PS Sector 34, Chandigarh i.e. make and registration details of vehicle taken into possession, duplicate keys of vehicle, papers of the vehicle etc.

Comments of CPIO/South :-

Comments of CPIO/South have been obtained who submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office through RTI online portal vide registration no. PODEP/R/E/20/00098 dated 01.04.2020. Thereafter, the requisite information/report was obtained from SHO PS Sector 34, Chandigarh and the appellant was informed vide letter no. 146/CPIO/South dated 04.04.2020 that case FIR no. 284 dated 07.08.2018 u/s 379, 34 IPC PS Sector 34, Chandigarh is under investigation, hence, information was denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act.

21/5/2020

Decision :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the case in question (FIR no. 284 dated 07.08.2018 u/s 379, 34 IPC PS Sector 34, Chandigarh) was under investigation at that stage hence the details of the case could not be supplied to the appellant, so CPIO/South had rightly denied the information u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act.

It is also pertinent to mention here that the appellant is father of one of the accused persons in the case namely Karanveer Singh. So, he is seeking information on behalf of his son. But no authority/consent letter of his son is found to be attached with the 1st appeal of the appellant.

Moreover, case in question is still under investigation hence, information cannot be disclosed at this stage also, hence, denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act. With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

21/5/2020

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Sh. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary,
   R/o H. No. 2206, Sector 45 C,
   UT Chandigarh
   Mob. 93160-11898
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section