THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, HQs.-CUM-APPELLATE AUTHORITY-HQs., UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

ORDER

Order on First Appeal u/s 19 RTI Act.

This is an order on the appeal dated 09.01.2020 preferred by Sh. Paramjit Singh, Chamber No. 375, District Court Complex, Sector-43, Chandigarh under RTI Act 2005, received in this office on 13.01.2020, through SSP/UT-cum-First Appellate Authority, against the disposal of his RTI Application dated 08.11.2020.

- (2) The appellant, vide his application dated 08.11.2020 under RTI Act 2005, had requested CPIO/HQs. to supply him some information pertaining to Punjab Police Rules. CAPIO/PHQs. transferred the application to CPIOs/ HQs., Central, and CPIO/Estt. CPIO/Establishment disposed of the RTI application vide No. 246/RTI-2019/CPIO(Estt.)./UT/50289 dated 11.12.2019 by supplying the information and CPIO/PHQ vide No. 1571/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-19 D-1631, 1632 dated 20.11.2019 by transferring the application to CPIO/Central.
- (3) The appellant, not satisfied with the manner in which his application was disposed of by the CPIOs/HQrs. & Establishment has filed the instant appeal.
- (4) The comments of DSP-cum-CPIO/HQs. have been called for. He has reported that after the scrutiny of RTI application the requisite information sought was found related to CPIO/Central so the same was transferred to CPIO/Central vide No. 1571/DSP/Hqrs./RTI-19, D-1631 dated 20.11.19.

Decision of First Appellate Authority

The undersigned being first appellate authority find no reason to disagree with the decision of CPIO/Hqrs. transferring the RTI application to CPIO/Central as the information sought was related to a protest held in Sector-17, Chandigarh which falls under the jurisdiction of DSP-cum-CPIO/Central.

(5) The comments CPIO/Establishment have been called for. He has provided information on points relating to him i.e. No. 5 & 11. Information sought, information provided, grievances raised in first appeal, comments of the CPIO/Estt. and the decision of the undersigned being first appellate authority is given below:

Information sought	Information provided	Grievances raised in First Appeal	Comments of CPIO/Esttt.	Decision of First Appellate Authority
1. Kindly supply the names of serving police officials in uniform who protested in the support of Delhi Police in Sector 17 on 6.11.2019 and		1. That the appellant vide his application under RTI Act dated 08.11.2019 asked for the information from the respondent and the same was sent through Registered		

the details of duty		Post on 08.11.2019.		
of serving police		2. That the letter vide		
officials who were		memo		
participating in		No.DSP/Hqrs/RTI-19		
agitation on that		D-1632 received in		
particular date and		which the appellant		
time.		was informed that		
2. Whether the said		the information		
police official had		relates to the office		
taken any prior		is of DSP-Cum-		
permission from		CPIO/Central Sector		
			2-1-2	
any higher		17, Chandigarh and		
authorities?		the said application		
3.What was the		was forwarded to the	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
grievances of the		concerned office and		
police officials who		copy of intimation is		
protested in the		sent to the appellant.		
above mentioned		3. That thereafter the		
date and time?		appellant again		
77.57.5.37.55.55.57.57.5				
4. Copy of the		received a letter on		1.4.1
application for		29. 11. 2019 in		
permission for		which the concerned	A STATE OF THE STA	
		office has not		
protest and the				
respective order (if		supplied the required		
any)		information as per		
5. Under which	Nil	Annexure A-1.	5. There is nothing	5 The undersiant
	INII			5. The undersigned
provisions/Act/		4. That the appellant	mentioned in the Punjab	is agree with the
Rules/manual does		received another	Police Rules as	comments of the
the serving police		letter dated 11. 12.	applicable to Chandigarh	
		2019 vide No.		CPIO and the
			Police. Hence, the Nil	reply/information
and that too in		246/RTI-2019/CPIO	information was	given while
uniform. Copy of		(Estt./UT/50289 but	provided to the	
the relevant		the concerned	applicant.	disposing RTI
The state of the s		official did not	аррисант.	application.
provisions be				
supplies.		supplied the required		
6.Whether such/any		information as per		
kind of protest had		Annexure A-1. Copy		
ever happened in		of letter is Annexure		
history of		A-5.		
Chandigarh Police.		5. That the		
Details regarding		respondent has not		
33				
the same (if any).		supplied the		
7.Whether the		information which	MXXXX 2	
police services		was sought in the		
comes under the		said application,		*-
preview of essential				
services? And if yes		intentionally and		
whether the police		deliberately not		
can hold such		disclosed, the		
		information to the		
protests/agitation				
under the essential		appellant as the said		
services?		incident was		
8. Whether the		reported in full public		
		view and 10 Mts		
department				
approves such		from Police Post,		
protest ? If no		Neelam, Sector 17,		
whether any action		Chandigarh and		
has been initiated				
has been initiated		published in various		
against the erring		news paper.		
police officials (if		6. That no such or		
any).		relevant information		
			2018-6-2018	
9. Whether such act		has ever supplied to	La cauli Tana a Tana	
of serving police		the applicant till date.		
officials has		However the motive		
tarnished/damaged	Mal Miles	of the respondent is		
the				
		only that they did not		
image/reputation of		want to provide the		
the Chandigarh		said information to		
Police and		the applicant to save		
1 Olice				

Chandigarh Lawyers? 10. Whether there is any association/union of the serving Chandigarh Police Officials? If any kindly supply the details including the list of office bearers? 11. Is it correct that the Punjab Police Service (Conduct) rules are applicable to the Chandigarh Police and the officials by holding the protest violate the rules mentioned therein?	11. The information does not fall under the definition of RTI Act, 2005.	their junior officer who protested in Uniforms and did illegal act or the reason best known to them. 7. That from the above said facts it is clearly proves that the respondent did not bother to provide the necessary information to the appellant, as the said information is essential for the appellant to punish the wrong doers. 8. That it is amply proved on record that the respondent did not want to supply	11. AS per the point 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005, "information" means any material in any form including records, documents, memos, emails, opinions, advices, press release, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples,	11. The undersigned is agree with the CPIO. The information sought does not fall under the definition of information as defined in section 2(f) of RTI Act. The CPIO is not
		appellant intentionally and deliberately. The said protest was witnessed by media persons and the same was also published through social media. 9. That the present appeal is well within	definition of RTI Act,	supposed to created information for the applicant/appellant
		limitation as the last letter dated 11.12.2019 which was actually received on 13.12.2019.	2005.	

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

The appellant, if not satisfied with the disposal of appeal in the manner as above can file second appeal to the Hon'ble Chief Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munrika, New Delhi-110067 within a period of 90 days.

Manoj Kumar Meena IPS 6 2 Superintendent of Police, HQs., -cum-Appellate Authority/HQs., Under RTI Act, U.T., Chandigarh.

Sh. Paramjit Singh, Chamber No. 375, District Court Complex, Sector-43, Chandigarh.

No. D-177-80 /RTI/SP/HQs. dated Chandigarh, the: - 6.2.20

Copies to the: -

1. DSP-cum-CPIO/HQs. for necessary action.

2. Ad O-cum-CPIO/Estt. for necessary action.

3. 1/C Computer Section for updating the Web.

the Im