

**OFFICE OF THE SEONIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup>  
APPELLATE AUOTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

**Appeal Order No. D-206-208**

**/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 15.06.2020**

Name of Appellant : Mr. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary  
R/o # 2206 Sector-45, Chd.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/South

Date of RTI application : 03.05.2020

Reply of CPIOs : 17.05.2020

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 17.05.2020

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal through online portal (bearing No. PODEP/A/E/20/00029 dated 17.05.2020) against the reply of CPIO/South in connection with her RTI application (bearing No. PODEP/R/E/20/00152/2 dated 03.05.2020) with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 42/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 17.05.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**Information sought vide RTI application:**

The appellant had sought information on 4 points i.e. numbers of FIR regarding theft of keys of car, time frame for filling the charge-sheet in court, status of complaints No. PW2020-02199, 2019-08070 & 201915034 etc.

**Comments of CPIO/South:**

CPIO/South submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online portal for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO/PS-34 and thereafter, point's wise reply was sent to the applicant vide No. 168/CPIO/South dated 06.05.2020 by email.

**Decision:**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on

*J 15/6/2020*

record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 4 points i.e. numbers of FIR regarding theft of keys of car, time frame for filling the charge-sheet in court, status of complaints No. PW2020-02199, 2019-08070 & 201915034 etc.

In reply, CPIO/South had supplied the point wise information to the appellant.


So far as the appeal is concerned, appellant has raised the objection particularly related to Point No. 2 i.e. please inform the maximum time that IO can hold charge-sheet after registering of FIR.

From the bare perusal of the contents of Point No. 2, it revealed that appellant has sought clarification/justification on investigation procedures which is not covered under the definition of 'Information' defined in section 2 (j) of RTI Act. The same is reproduced as under:-

(j) *"Right to information" means the right to information accessible under this Act which is held by or under the control of any public authority....."*

In view of above, requisite information of point No. 2 is out of the purview of RTI Act. Hence, the instant appeal stands disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

  
(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary,  
R/o # 2206 Sector-45, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section.