

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH**

Appeal Order No. D-326-329

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 18.06.2019

Name of Appellant : Sh. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary
R/o H. No. 2206, Sector 45 C,
Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/Hqrs and South.

Date of RTI application : 25.04.2019

Reply of CPIOs : 23.05.19 & 27.05.19

Date of 1st Appeal : 23.05.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his online 1st appeal vide registration no. PODEP/A/2019/60028 dated against the reply/order of CPIO/Hqrs and South in connection with his RTI application dated 25.04.2019 with the contentions that complete information was not supplied to him. The same was diarized vide No. R-94/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 24.05.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application :

The appellant vide his online RTI application bearing registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50334 dated 25.04.2019, had sought information on 04 points pertaining to complaint nos. 201614733, 201718661, 201806509 and reference received from District Child Protection Unit bearing memo no. DCPS/2017-2018/598 dated 14.10.2017, DDR no. 23 dated 22.10.2016 lodged at PP Burail etc.

Comments of CPIO/Hqrs :

Comments of CPIO/Hqrs have been obtained who submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online RTI portal vide registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50334 dated 25.04.2019 for seeking information with regard to point nos. 1 and 4 i.e. related with complaint nos. 201718661 & 201806509. Thereafter, requisite complaint files were obtained from I/C HAC and the available information (consisting of 02 pages) was supplied to the appellant on 27.05.2019 after inspection of relevant files.

Comments of CPIO/South :

Comments of CPIO/South have also been obtained who submitted that online RTI application of the appellant was transferred to his office thorough online RTI portal specifically related to point nos. 2 and 4. Thereafter, requisite report were obtained from I/C Police Post Burail and the applicant was informed that reference received from District Child Protection Unit had been sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry and no action was taken against any officer in the matter. Moreover, DDR no. 23 dated 22.10.2016 was also sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry which was later disposed of them and complaint no. 2016-14733 was made separately by the concerned complainant.

18/6/2019

Decision :

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Hqrs and South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide his online RTI application bearing registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50334 dated 25.04.2019, had sought information on 04 points pertaining to complaint nos. 201614733, 201718661, 201806509 and reference received from District Child Protection Unit bearing memo no. DCPS/2017-2018/598 dated 14.10.2017, DDR no. 23 dated 22.10.2016 lodged at PP Burail etc.

In reply to RTI application, CPIO/Hqrs had supplied the requisite information (consisting of 02 pages) to the applicant with regard to point nos. 1 & 4 (related to complaint nos. 201718661 & 201806509).

CPIO/South had also informed the applicant that reference received from District Child Protection Unit had been sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry and no action was taken against any officer in the matter. Moreover, DDR no. 23 dated 22.10.2016 was also sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry which was later disposed of by them and complaint no. 2016-14733 was made separately by the concerned complainant.

So far as the appeal is concerned, appellant vide his appeal, has sought information only against complaint no. 2016-14733 dated 28.10.2016. Whereas from the perusal of contents of RTI application, it is clear that vide point no. 03, appellant only wants to clarify whether DDR no. 23 dated 22.10.2016 was closed or converted in FIR or converted into complaint no. 2016-14733 dated 28.10.2016, not seeking any information pertaining to complaint no. 2016-14733 dated 28.10.2016.

As the appellant had not sought any information pertaining to complaint no. 2016-14733 dated 28.10.2016 under the RTI application. Hence, he cannot claim the same in the appeal. Moreover, the same is also a third party information which has nothing to do with the appellant.

In view of aforementioned facts and circumstances, it is clear that available information has already been supplied to the appellant. Hence, no more action is required to be taken on the instant appeal. The same is hereby filed, accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

W 18/6/2019
(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Sh. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary,
R/o H. No. 2206, Sector 45 C,
Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/Hqrs
3. CPIO/South
- ✓ 4. Computer Section

he Sangar
for mg
Kunk
Insp.
Computer Section
dt 19/6/19