

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

Appeal Order No. D-340-343 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 27.07.2020

Name of Appellant : Mrs. Beena Devi W/o Jai Parkash,
Chaman Colony, Dhanas, UT,
Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/Central & PHQ

Date of RTI application : 14.07.2020

Reply of CPIOs : 17.07.2020

Date of 1st Appeal : 28.07.2020

ORDER

The appellant has preferred her 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/Central & PHQ in connection with her two RTI applications dated 18.10.2019 & 07.07.2020 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to her. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 79/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 28.07.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant vide her two RTI applications dated 18.10.2019 & 07.07.2020 (attached with appeal) had sought information on two points pertaining to complaints dated 05.07.2019, 09.07.2019, 17.09.2019 & 24.09.2019 filed by Geeta Devi d/o Veena Devi i.e. action taken report and relevant documents etc.

Comments of CPIO/Central:

CPIO/Central submitted that RTI application dated 07.07.2020 of the appellant was received through CAPIO/PHQ vide no. 602/RTI/CAPIO/PWS/PHQ dated 14.07.2019 for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, requisite information was dispatched to applicant by post on 17.07.2020.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/Hqrs :

CPIO/Hqrs submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received from CAPIO/PHQ vide letter No. 2136/RTI/CAPIO/PWS/PHQ dated 23.10.2019. Thereafter requisite information was obtained from I/C CRU who reported that relevant complaints was under consideration with senior officers. Hence, information was denied to the appellant u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act vide letter no. 1522/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-2020/D-1568 dated 06.07.2019.

Thereafter, in continuation of above said RTI matter, the appellant again filed an application vide No. 2333/RTI/CAPIO/PWS/PHQ dated 26.11.2019 and at that time both complaints were consigned with HAC Branch after completion of enquiry. Thereafter, vide letter No. 1629/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-2019, D-1688 dated 09.12.2019, appellant was informed to collect the information but she did not collected the information.

Thereafter, in continuation of above said RTI matter, the appellant again filed an application vide No. 248/RTI/CAPIO/PWS/PHQ dated 14.02.2020. vide letter No. 164/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-2020, D-233 dated 03.03.2020, appellant was informed that requisite information was available in the shape of 74 pages and Rs. 200 is required to be deposit for furnishing the information but she did not deposit the requisite amount.

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central & PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant sought information on two points pertaining to complaints dated 05.07.2019, 09.07.2019, 17.09.2019 & 24.09.2019 filed by Geeta Devi d/o Veena Devi i.e. action taken report and relevant documents etc.

So far as the instant appeal is concerned, it is clear that appellant has filed three RTI applications which were received on 23.10.2019, 26.11.2019 & 14.02.2020 for seeking similar information. In reply to these RTI applications CPIO/PHQ has informed the applicant to deposit Rs. 200 as additional fee for obtaining information of 74 pages as per RTI Act. But

appellant did not deposit the requisite amount and collect the information. CPIO/Central has also supplied the available information of his record to the appellant.

Moreover, appellant has filed the instant appeal after the expiry stipulated period of time under RTI Act i.e. 30 days from the receipt of reply of concerned CPIO.

In view of above, it is clear that requisite information was not withheld by CPIO/PHQ, however, it is the appellant who did not deposit additional fee i.e. Rs. 200/- under RTI Act. Hence, appellant is hereby advised to deposit the above said fee to the CPIO/PHQ for obtaining the requisite information. With these observations, the instant appeal stands disposed of, accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Vineet Kumar, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mrs. Beena Devi W/o Jai Parkash,
Chaman Colony, Dhanas, UT, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/Central & PHQ
3. Computer Section.