OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUOTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.

Appeal Order No. 0-347-349 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated:** 31.08.2020

Name of Appellant	:	Mr. D. R. Singla, R/o # 4961 Sec. 38 West, UT, Chandigarh.
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/South
Date of RTI application	:	14.07.2020
Reply of CPIOs	:	20.07.2020
Date of 1st Appeal	:	04.08.2020

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 80/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 04.08.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on six points i.e. copy of complaint pertaining to quarrel incident of Meena Sharma w/o Dinesh Sharma and Dinesh Kumar, CCTV footage, spot map, names of arrested persons and statements of witnesses, DDRs copies of PS-49 etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/SOUTH:

CPIO/South submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through CAPIO/PHQ for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, report from SHO/49 was sought who submitted that with regard to Point No. 1 & 6 are not related with the appellant, hence, requisite information was denied u/s 8(1) (j) of RTI Act. Accordingly applicant was informed vide No. 262/CPIO/South dated 20.07.2020.

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant sought information on six points i.e. copy of complaint pertaining to quarrel incident of Meena Sharma w/o Dinesh Sharma and Dinesh Kumar, CCTV footage, spot map, names of arrested persons and statements of witnesses, DDRs copies of PS-49 etc.

So far as the instant appeal is concerned, after going through the record in hand, it is clear that requisite information are not directly related with the appellant and appellant also could not established his claim for requisite information by supplying any documents/consent letter of complaints in his favour. Hence, CPIO/South had rightly denied the requisite information by applying section 8(1) (j) of RTI Act being a third party information. Moreover, no large public interest is involved which can be achieved by disclosing such information. Accordingly, the instant appeal is hereby disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Vineet Kumar, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. D. R. Singla,
   R/o # 4961 Sec. 38 West,
   UT, Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section.

HC Sanjay
Kumta

HC Comp Sec
dt 11/9/25