# OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UNION TERRITORY, UTCHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-375-377 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 28.06. 2019

Name of Appellant : Mr. U.S Singhal,

R/o # 964/3, Rani Bagh, Gurudwara,

Road, New Delhi.

Concerned CPIO : CPIO/CCIC

Date of RTI application : 06.04.2019

CPIO reply : 25.04.2019

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 30.05.2019

#### ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against CPIO/ CCIC in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The same was registered vide No. 102/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 30.05.2019.

## Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 09 points with regard to various issues i.e. total number of complaints of online fraud in 2017-2018, total number complaints filed by Vinay Kumar since 01/01/2017, total number of complaints in which mobile no. 9911553608 and name & designation of enquiry officer etc.

### Comments of CPIO/CCIC:

CPIO/CCIC submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received by post. In this regard, point wise reply was supplied to the appellant through registered post bearing No. RP83754226IN ON 25.04.2019

## **DECISION:**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/CCIC vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information 09 points with regard to various issues i.e. total number of complaints of online fraud in 2017-2018, total number of

7281612019



complaints filed by Vinay Kumar since 01/01/2017, total number of complaints in which mobile no. 9911553608 is involved and name & designation of enquiry officer etc.

In reply, CPIO/CCIC supplied the point wise reply to the appellant as per RTI Act.

So far as the appeal is concerned, it is manifestly clear from the reply of CPIO/CCIC that information on point No. 1 & 7 has already been supplied. However, information of point No. 2 and part information on point No. 4 i.e. name & designation of EO with respect to compliant(s) of Vinay Kumar, was wrongly denied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI Act and the same can be supplied to the appellant. Moreover, information with respect to point Nos. 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 was rightly denied by the CPIO.

In view of above, CPIO/CCIC is hereby directed to provide the information on point No. 2 i.e. total number of complaints filed by Vinay Kumar without disclosing his personal details and part information on point No. 4 (specifically related to the complaint of Vinay Kumar) to the appellant, as per RTI Act.

With these observations, the instant appeal is hereby disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, as above, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

 Mr.U.S Singhal, R/o # 964/3, Rani Bagh, Gurudwara, Road, New Delhi.

CPIO/CCIC
 Computer Section

Mc Son

Smpyor Section do 21 My