

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

Appeal Order No. D-410-412

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 16.08.2020

Name of Appellant : Mr. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary
R/o #2206, Sector-45 C,
Chandigarh

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/South

Date of RTI application : 20.08.2020

Reply of CPIOs : 18.09.2020

Date of 1st Appeal : 18.09.2020

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal through online portal (bearing No. PODEP/A/E/20/00070 dated 18.09.2020) against the reply of CPIO/South in connection with his RTI application (bearing No. PODEP/R/E/20/00368 dated 20.08.2020) with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 98/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 18.09.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

INFORMATION SOUGHT VIDE RTI APPLICATION:

The appellant had sought information on 7 points with regard to case FIR no. 378 dated 17.10.2018, PS-34 i.e. date & time of depositing of mobile phone in Malkhana & sending the same to CFSL-36, Name of IOs who prepared the Charge-sheet and who represented in the High Court, date of release of mobile phone etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/SOUTH:-

CPIO/South submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online RTI portal for supplying the requisite information. Accordingly, requisite information was sought from SHO PS 34, Chandigarh and the same in point-wise form was sent to the applicant vide letter No. 323/CPIO/South dated 14.09.2020.

DECISION:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on 7 points with regard to case FIR no. 378 dated 17.10.2018, PS-34, Chandigarh i.e. date & time of depositing of mobile phone in Malkhana & sending the same to CFSL-36, Name of IOs who prepared the Charge-sheet and who represented in the High Court, date of release of mobile phone etc.

In reply, CPIO/South had supplied the point wise reply/information to the appellant, except point no. 05 which is in questionable form, hence denied as per section 2(f) of RTI act.

So far as the appeal is concerned, reply of CPIO/South was perused thoroughly which clearly showed that CPIO/South had already supplied the point wise requisite information to the appellant on every point except point no. 5 which is in questionable form, hence, not maintainable under RTI act as the same is out of the purview of definition of information as per section 2(f) of the RTI act.

In view of above, no more action is required to be taken on the instant appeal, the same is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

16/10/2020
(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Tarminder Singh Chaudhary,
R/o # 2206 Sector-45, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section.

HC Sanjay Kumar
Insp
1/c Com Sec
dt. 16/10/2020