# OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UNION TERRITORY, UTCHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D. 418 - 420 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 12. 67. 2619

Name of Appellant : Mr. Joginder Singh,

R/o # 553/1, Sector 38,

Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIO : CPIO/PHQ, W&CSU, East,

South & Central

Date of RTI application : 01.05, 2019

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 24.06.2019

### **ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against CPIO/PHQ, W&CSU, East, South & Central in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The same was registered vide No. 117/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 24.06.2019.

## Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 2 points i.e. the list of his complaint along with alleged persons since 2000 to till date and copy of order vide which mobile phone of general public was to be deposited with sentry of police stations.

## Comments of CPIO/ W&CSU, East, South, Central and PHQ

Comments of CPIO/W&CSU, East, South, Central were obtained and they submitted that with regard to point No. 2, appellant was informed that no such order was received in their respective police stations.

However, CPIO/PHQ submitted that requisite information on point No. 1 was obtained from I/C Public Window and vide letter No. 734/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-19, D-811 dated 13.05.2019, appellant was asked to collect the requisite information. On 16.05.2019, appellant visited in his office and collected the requisite information containing three pages with proper receipt.

#### **DECISION:**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/W&CSU, East, South, Central and PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 2 points i.e. the list of his complaint along with alleged persons since 2000 to till date and copy of order vide which mobile phone of general public was to be deposited with sentry of police stations.

In reply, CPIO/PHQ supplied the requisite information on point No. 1 and other concerned CPIOs informed the appellant that no information is available on point No. 2 i.e. copy of order vide which mobile phone of general public was to be deposited with sentry of police stations.

So far as the appeal is concerned, it is clear from the record in hand that information on point No. 1 has been supplied by CPIO/PHQ and with regard to point No. 2; all CPIOs categorically replied to the appellant that no such information is available with them. Hence, no action is warranted in the instant appeal and the same is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, as above, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Joginder Singh, R/o # 553/1, Sector 38, Chandigarh.

2. CPIO/PHQ, Central, East, South & W&CSU

3. Computer Section

for hig for hig Knew You Thisp Compyon Seedon 92 12/7/19