**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUOTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

**Appeal Order No. D- 432 - 433 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 26.10.2020**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Name of Appellant | : | Anonymous |
| Concerned CPIOs | : | CPIO/PHQ |
| Date of RTI application | : | 30.09.2020 |
| Reply of CPIOs | : | 30.09.2020 |
| Date of 1st Appeal | : | 30.09.2020 |

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal through online portal (bearing No. PODEP/A/E/20/00075 dated 30.09.2020) against the reply of CPIO/PHQ in connection with his RTI application (bearing No. PODEP/R/E/20/00462 dated 30.09.2020) with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 108/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 30.09.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**INFORMATION SOUGHT VIDE RTI APPLICATION:**

The appellant vide his RTI application sought the information pertaining to lost mobile phones reported to police i.e. how many mobile phones were lost in one month in 2019, how many recovered/searched in one month, how many mobile phones returned to their owners and when police close the case of lost mobiles etc.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ:**

CPIO/PHQ submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online portal for supplying the requisite information. The application was returned to appellant with the remarks to send the complete name, address & mobile number. The appellant also called on the phone number of RTI branch and requested to provide him the requisite information but refused to disclose his identification. So, information was denied to him.

**DECISION:**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information regarding lost mobiles reported in police but he did not disclose his identification i.e. name, address and phone number etc.

This RTI application is anonymous in nature and section 6(2) described that appellant is not required to give any reason and personal detail except those which is necessary for contacting him. But Section section 3 clarifies that all citizens have the right to obtain information. So, citizenship of the appellant is mandatorily required which cannot be ascertained without valid

personal detail/documents i.e. Aadhaar Card, ID card, Voter Card etc. containing personal details.

In the instant appeal also, appellant has not disclosed his name & address except email address and in citizenship column, he just mentioned his citizenship status "Indian" which is not sufficient to entertain the RTI application & appeal. Hence, CPIO/PHQ had rightly dealt the RTI application. With these observations, the instant appeal is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

**(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)**
**Senior Superintendent of Police**
**Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-**
**1st Appellate Authority.**

1. CPIO/PHQ
2. Computer Section.

HC Sanjay Kumar
Insp
I/c Com Sec
dt. 27/10/2020