**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

**Appeal Order No.** D-437-439 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 26.10.2020

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Name of Appellant | : | Dr. Poonam Khanna<br>R/o # 3298 Sector 45D,Chandigarh. |
| Concerned CPIOs | : | CPIO/South |
| Date of RTI application | : | 12.08.2020, received on 17.08.2020 |
| Date of CPIO's Reply | : | 10.09.2020 |
| Date of 1st Appeal | : | 28.09.2020 |

**O R D E R**

* * * * * * * *

The appellant has preferred her 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with her RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to her. The instant appeal was diarized vide no. 106/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 28.09.2020 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**Information sought vide RTI application :-**

The appellant had sought information on thirteen (13) points pertaining to complaint filed by Sh. Ram Narayan R/o H. No. 58 VPO Maloya Chandigarh against Smt. Simmi & others bearing no. 2020-12240 dated 15.07.2020 i.e. whether Ram Narayan is an original allottee of H.No. 3954 (EWS), Maloya, Chandigarh, Is statement of Surinder Singh recorded by I/O, has the statements of four other men Radhey, Sandeep Sharma Rajesh & father-in-law were recorded etc.

**Comments of CPIO/South :-**

Comments of CPIO/South have been obtained who submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office from Public Window vide memo no. 702/RTI/CAPIO(PHQ)/UT/PWS dated 20.08.2020. Thereafter, the requisite information was obtained from SHO PS Maloya, Chandigarh and the appellant was informed vide letter no. 325/CPIO/South dated 05.09.2020 that complaint bearing no. 2020-12240 dated 15.07.2020 was under enquiry so the information could not be supplied to the appellant, hence denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act. As far as the present status of the complaint is concerned, the complaint in question has been sent to senior officer on 21.09.2020 after completion of enquiry.

**Decision :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record

which revealed that the appellant had sought information on thirteen (13) points pertaining to complaint filed by Sh. Ram Narayan R/o H. No. 58 VPO Maloya Chandigarh against Smt. Simmi & others bearing no. 2020-12240 dated 15.07.2020 i.e. whether Ram Narayan is an original allottee of H.No. 3954 (EWS), Maloya, Chandigarh, Is statement of Surinder Singh recorded by I/O, has the statements of four other men Radhey, Sandeep Sharma Rajesh & father-in-law were recorded etc.

In reply, CPIO/South had denied the requisite information to the appellant u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act as the complaint bearing no. 2020-12240 dated 15.07.2020 was under enquiry at that stage so the information could not be supplied to the appellant.

So far as the present status of the complaint in question is concerned, the same has been sent for final consideration after completion of enquiry.

However, it is also pertinent to mention here that prima facie, it appears that the appellant is a third party as she is neither complainant nor accused in the above said complaint and she did not submitted any document in his favour on behalf of complainant or accused.

In view of above, it is clear that CPIO/South had rightly denied the information u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act. Hence, no more action is required to be taken on the instant appeal, the same is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

**(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)**
**Senior Superintendent of Police**
**Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-**
**1st Appellate Authority.**

1. Dr. Poonam Khanna,
   R/o H. No. 758, Sector 07,
   Panchkula, Haryana.
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section

HC Sanjay Kumar
Insp
I/C Com Sec
dt 27/10/2020