OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D - 468 - 47/ /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 01.08.2019

Name of Appellant : Ms. Anita Garg,

R/o # 3328, Sector 27 D,

Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/W&CSU and Hgrs.

Date of RTI applications : 31.05.2019

Reply of CPIOs : 19.06.2019 & 28.06.2019

Date of 1st Appeal : 04.07.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred her online 1st appeal vide registration no. PODEP/A/2019/60043 dated 04.07.2019 against the reply/order of CPIO/W&CSU and Hqrs in connection with her online RTI application bearing registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50412 dated 31.05.2019 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to her. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 131/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 04.07.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 06 points pertaining to the reference bearing no. C-563/19/1786/UT/HAC dated 20.03.2019 sent to the National Commission for Women, New Delhi and complaint bearing PWS no. 2018-09138 filed by the appellant and her husband against Rajan Singla & his parents i.e. copies of statements of alleged, summons issued, entries made in entry register, copies of noting etc.

Comments of CPIO/W&CSU:

CPIO/W&CSU submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office from online RTI portal vide registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50412 dated 31.05.2019. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO WPS and the appellant was informed vide letter no. 259/UT/DSP/W&CSU dated 17.06.2019 that with respect to point nos. 1, 2, 4 & 6,

M 11812019

RTI application was transferred to CPIO/Hqrs as the complaint alongwith seven other references, was sent to senior officers for taking decision in the matter. With respect to point no. 03, the appellant was informed that only one entry of dated 26.06.2018 was found in the record and with respect to point no. 05, she was informed that all 07 references were sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry on 23.04.2019 which were consigned to record in HAC branch on 24.05.2019.

Comments of CPIO/Hgrs:

CPIO/Hqrs submitted that RTI application was received from CPIO/W&CSU with regard to point nos. 1, 2, 4 & 6. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from I/C HAC and the same (in the shape of 115 pages) was received by the appellant vide letter no. 279/DSP/Hqrs/Online/RTI-19 dated 28.06.2019 after inspecting the relevant file thoroughly.

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/W&CSU and Hqrs vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 06 points pertaining to the reference bearing no. C-563/19/1786/UT/HAC dated 20.03.2019 sent to the National Commission for Women, New Delhi and complaint bearing PWS no. 2018-09138 filed by the appellant and her husband against Rajan Singla & his parents i.e. copies of statements of alleged, summons issued, entries made in entry register, copies of noting etc.

In reply, CPIO/W&CSU had transferred the RTI application to CPIO/Hqrs with respect to point nos. 1, 2, 4 & 6. With respect to point no. 03, the appellant was informed that only one entry of dated 26.06.2018 was found in the record and with respect to point no. 05, she was informed that all 07 references were sent to senior officers after completion of enquiry on 23.04.2019 which were consigned to record in HAC branch on 24.05.2019.

CPIO/Hqrs had obtained the relevant complaint file (consisting of seven other clubbed references) from the quarter concerned and informed the appellant to inspect and collect the information. Thereafter, on 28.06.2019, appellant

7 11812029

visited the office of CPIO/Hgrs and received the requisite information (in the shape of 115 pages) after thoroughly inspecting the relevant file.

So far as the appeal is concerned, the appellant is raising her grievances about the documents which according to her speculation/thought, should be present in the file whereas it is evident that the appellant has received the requisite information in the shape of 115 pages from the office of CPIO/Hgrs after thorough inspection of the relevant file which showed that she has received the available information in the record. Her grievances cannot be redressed under RTI act.

In view of above, appeal of the appellant is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

> V7 11812019 (Nilambari Jagadale, IPS) Senior Superintendent of Police Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-1st Appellate Authority.

- Ms. Anita Garg, R/o # 3328, Sector 27 D, Chandigarh.
- 2. CPIO/Hgrs.
- 3. CPIO/W&CSU

14. Computer Section

For nig For nig Marker Insp., Empirer Seeding At. 1/8hg