

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

Appeal Order No. D-484-487 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 06.08.2019  
Name of Appellant : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,  
R/o #8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi  
Mullanpur, Ludhiana, PB.  
Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/Central & PHQ  
Date of RTI applications : 07.07.2019  
Reply of CPIOs : 19.07.2019 & 27.07.2019  
Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 17.07.2019

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal through office of W/SSP Traffic & Security against the reply/order of CPIO/Central & PHQ in connection with his online RTI application bearing No. PODEP/R/2019/50486 dated 07.07.2019 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 139/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 17.07.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**Information sought vide RTI application :**

The appellant had sought information on 5 points i.e. copy of his complaint dated 03.04.2019, name of the police officer who visited the spot, copy of statements and inquiry report.

**Comments of CPIO/Central :**

CPIO/Central submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online RTI portal for supplying the requisite information. After obtaining the report from SHO/PS Sector 17, requisite information on point No 2 to 5 was supplied to the appellant by post on 19.07.2019. However, with regard to point No. 1, RTI application was transferred to CPIO/PHQ as original complaint along with Roznamcha dated 03.04.2019 was sent to VRK Branch.

**Comments of CPIO/PHQ:**

CPIO/PHQ submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received from CPIO/Central for supplying the requisite information on point No. 1. After that the report of I/C VRK Branch was obtained who reported that DDR No. 30 & 57 dated

*Y* 6/8/2019

15.01.2017 and original complaint was not available in record. Therefore, RTI application was again returned to CPIO/Central.

**Decision :**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central & PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 5 points i.e. copy of his complaint dated 03.04.2019, name of the police officer who visited the spot, copy of statements and inquiry report.

In reply, CPIO/Central supplied the requisite information on point No .2 to 5 to the appellant and RTI application was transferred to CPIO/PHQ with regard to point No. 1.

CPIO/PHQ retransferred the RTI application to CPIO/Central as requisite information dated 15.01.2017 was not available in VRK Branch.

So far as the appeal is concerned, information on point Nos. 2 to 5 was supplied to the appellant by CPIO/Central; therefore, no action is warranted in this regard. However, with regard to point No. 1, CPIO/PHQ had called the record of DDR No. 30 & 57 dated 15.01.2017 from the VRK Branch as CPIO/Central inadvertently mentioned the wrong date i.e.15.01.2017 (actual date is 03.04.2019) of these DDRs in his transfer letter. Taking note of the above mentioned fact, CPIO/PHQ is hereby directed to supply the requisite information on point No. 1 to the appellant after obtaining the same from VRK Branch. With these observations, the instant appeal stands dispose of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

6/6/2019  
(Nilambari Jagdale, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,  
R/o #8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana, PB
2. CPIO/PHQ
3. CPIO/Central
4. Computer Section

He Sangraj  
for my  
Kulirka  
Insp,  
Computer Section  
dt 8/6/19