

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

**Appeal Order No. D-53-55**

**/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 31.12.2019**

Name of Appellant : L/C Manpreet Kaur No. 4028/CP  
R/o Vill. Dangdhehra, PO Sarsini,  
Distt. Mohali, Punjab.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/PHQ

Date of RTI application : 19.11.2018

Reply of CPIOs : 10.12.2018

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 31.12.2018

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred her 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/PHQ with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to her. The same was diarized vide No. R-773/UT/RTI/SSP dated 31.12.2018.

**Information sought vide RTI application:**

The appellant had sought information on 6 points i.e. name of temporarily attached ORs/NGOs in PHQ during 2014 to 2018, their list of all types of duties during 2014 to 2018, list of lady constables transferred during their maternity leave from PHQ to police lines & other units, list of lady constables transferred from Accounts Branch & Establishment Branch to police lines during the last five years and copy of intimation sent to PEB branch pertaining to temporary attachment of L/C Manpreet Kaur from police lines to Accounts Branch etc.

**Comments of CPIO/PHQ:**

CPIO/PHQ submitted that RTI application was received in his office from Public Window vide letter No. 1959/RTI/CAPIO(PHQ)/UT/PWS dated 20.11.2018. Thereafter comments of PEB/Branch were obtained who reported that requisite information could not be supplied as no larger public interest is involved for furnishing the same. Accordingly, the requisite information was denied u/s 8 (1) (e) of RTI Act to the appellant vide letter No. 1155/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-18, D-1431 dated 10.12.2018.

**Decision:**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 6 points i.e. name of temporarily attached ORs/NGOs in PHQ during 2014 to 2018, their list of all types of duties during 2014 to 2018, list of lady constables transferred during their maternity leave from PHQ to police lines & other units, list of lady constables transferred from Accounts Branch & Establishment Branch to police lines during the last five years and copy of intimation sent to PEB branch pertaining to temporary attachment of L/C Manpreet Kaur from police lines to Accounts Branch etc.



In reply, CPIO/PHQ had denied the requisite information to the appellant under section 8 (1) (e) of RTI Act with the remarks that no larger public interest is involved in the matter.

So far as the appeal is concerned, information sought for vide point Nos. 1 & 2 is vague in nature. Information with respect to point No. 3 is primarily related to the appellant and can be supplied to her.

Further, as far as point Nos. 4 to 6 are concerned, the part information of these points can be supplied to the appellant i.e. number of lady constables transferred as per point Nos. 4 to 6 during 2014 to 2018. However, their identities (names) and order numbers of their maternity leave cannot be disclosed without following the provisions of section 11 of RTI Act as such information is a personal and third party information.

In view of above, CPIO/PHQ is hereby directed to supply requisite information pertaining to point No. 3 and part information with regard to point Nos. 4 to 6 as discussed above, as per RTI Act.

With respect to remaining information of point Nos. 4 to 6 is concerned, the same is a personal and third party information, hence, CPIO/PHQ is further directed to take consent of the concerned lady constables u/s 11 of RTI Act and thereafter, supply the requisite information to the appellant after considering the provisions of section 8(1) (j) of RTI Act.

Moreover, the information sought for vide point Nos. 1 & 2 is a vague information, however, CPIO is directed to supply the maximum available information to the appellant as per RTI Act.

With these observations, the appeal stands dispose of accordingly

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of her appeal, as above, she can file second appeal within 90 days before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act.

17/12/2019  
(Nilambar Jagadale, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

1. L/C Manpreet Kaur No. 4028/CP  
R/o Vill. Dangdrehra, PO Sarsini,  
Distt. Mohali, Punjab.
2. CPIO/PHQ
3. Computer Section

Hc Sanjay  
for n19  
Insp.  
Computer Section  
dt 4/2/18