

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

Appeal Order No. 0-540-542

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 30.8.19

Name of Appellant :

Mr. Harpreet Singh,
Chamber No. 208-A, Distt Court
Sector 43, Chandigarh

Concerned CPIOs :

CPIO/South

Date of RTI application :

17.06.2019

Reply of CPIOs :

16.07.2019

Date of 1st Appeal :

31.07.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 149/UT/RTI/ FAA/SSP dated 31.07.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought CCTV footage all cameras of PS Sector-34 and DSP office Sector 34 dated 10.06.2019 & 12.06.2019 from 4 PM to 7 PM and 9.30AM to 11AM respectively.

Comments of CPIO/South:

CPIO/South submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through CAPIO/PHQ vide No. 1335/RTI/CAPIO(PHQ)/UT/PWS dated 17.06.2019 for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, technical team of Wireless Workshop sector 29, visited the PS-34, who reported that no data available in the hard disk of PS-34 for the date of 10.06.2019 (4PM to 7PM) and 12.06.2019 (09.30 AM to 11.00 AM) as the data of CCTV footage can be stored in the hard disk of DVR only for 7 days and the same is automatically overwritten after seven days. Accordingly, appellant was informed vide letter No. 354/CPIO/South dated 16.07.2019.

CPIO/South further submitted that the name of PS-Maloya was erroneously/inadvertently mentioned in place of PS-34 in the reply letter of RTI application.

30/8/2019

Decision :

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought CCTV footage all cameras of PS Sector-34 and DSP office Sector 34 dated 10.06.2019 & 12.06.2019 from 4 PM to 7 PM and 9.30AM to 11AM respectively.

In reply, CPIO/South had submitted that no recording of CCTV footage is available in the Hard-Disk of DVR as the data of CCTV footage can be stored in the hard disk for only 7 days and automatically overwritten after seven days.

So far as the appeal is concerned, it is clear from the report of the Technical Team of Wireless Workshop Sector 29, Chandigarh that no video recording for the requisite period i.e. 10.06.2019 & 12.06.2019 was found available in the Hard Disk of DVR System. Therefore, the requisite information is not available in the record; hence, the same cannot be supplied.

Moreover, CPIO/South is hereby directed to preserve the requisite CCTV footage forthwith after receipt of such type of request in future. With these observations, the instant appeal is hereby filed accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

30/8/2019
(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Harpreet Singh,
R/o # Chamber No. 208 A, District Courts,
Sector 43, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section

Mr. Sangar
for Mr.
Kuldeep
Insp.
Computer Section
dt 21/9/19