OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-633-635 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 23-10-19

Name of Appellant : Mr. Jatinder Malik,

1430, Sector 45, Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIO : CPIO/South

Date of RTI application : 03.09.2019

Reply of CPIO : 18.09.2019

Date of 1st Appeal : 25.09.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with her RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 178/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 25.09.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 09 points with regard to DDR No. 54 dated 29.08.2019 relating quarrel incident i.e. name of police officer who visited the spot, copy of warrant submitted by bailiff, name of IO in DDR No. 54 dated 29.08.2019, copy of complaint lodged by Jatinder Malik, action taken report on DDR No. 30 dated 25.01.2019 etc.

Comments of CPIO/South

CPIO/South submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, report from SHO/PS-34 was obtained, who provided point wise reply as well as relevant documents i.e. Copy of DDR No. 27, 30 & 54 dated 29.08.2019 and accordingly the same were sent to the appellant vide letter No. 564/CPIO/South dated 18.09.2019.

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information 09 points with regard to DDR No. 54 dated 29.08.2019 relating quarrel incident i.e. name of police officer who visited the spot, copy of warrant submitted by bailiff, name of IO in DDR No. 54 dated 29.08.2019, copy of complaint lodged by Jatinder Malik, action taken report on DDR No. 30 dated 25.01.2019 etc.

In reply, CPIO/South submitted that point wise reply was furnished to the appellant. $\frac{1}{2312012019}$

So far as the appeal is concerned, from the perusal of record in hand, it is evidently clear that CPIO/South has supplied the point wise information to the appellant i.e. copy of DDR No. 30 dated 25.01.2018 and DDR Nos. 27, 30 & 54 dated 29.08.2019, name of police officials including investigating officer who visited the spot etc. Hence, available information in the record has already been provided to the appellant thus no more action is required to be taken in the instant appeal. The same is hereby filed, accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

> (Nilambari Jagadale, IPS). Senior Superintendent of Police Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-1st Appellate Authority.

M23/10/2019

1. Mr. Jatinder Malik, # 1430, Sector 45, Chandigarh

2. CPIO/South

3 Computer Section

HC Sanjay Kumwa For m19 Quistup Insplamputor 24/10/19