

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

Appeal Order No. D-651-653

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 4-11-19

Name of Appellant	:	Ravneet Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/East
Date of RTI application	:	03.10.2019
Reply of CPIOs	:	07.10.2019
Date of 1 st Appeal	:	08.10.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his online 1st appeal vide registration no. PODEP/A/2019/60074 dated 11.10.2019 against the reply/order of CPIO/East in connection with his RTI application bearing no. PODEP/R/2019/50667 dated 03.10.2019 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 186/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 11.10.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application :

The appellant had sought copies of statements in an enquiry bearing no. D-196/DSP/East/UT with regard to a complaint filed by Maninder Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab.

Comments of CPIO/East :

CPIO/East submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received through online RTI portal vide registration no. PODEP/R/2019/50667 dated 03.10.2019 for supplying copies of statements in an enquiry bearing no. D-196/DSP/East/UT with regard to a complaint filed by Maninder Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab. Thereafter, contents of RTI application were perused which revealed that year of enquiry reference was not mentioned yet, relevant record was scrutinized and the appellant was informed vide letter no. 488/RTI/CPIO/East dated 07.10.2019 that the given reference bearing no. D-196/DSP/East is not relating to any enquiry conducted by this office. However, now appellant has mentioned the year of enquiry i.e. 2014 in his appeal hence, relevant records of CPIO's office and Public Window, PHQ were again scrutinized thoroughly which revealed that a complaint bearing Public Window no. 2013-06589 was filed by Ms. Maninder Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab and the same had been consigned to record in HAC branch on 30.10.2014.

Decision :

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought copies of statements in an enquiry bearing no. D-196/DSP/East/UT with regard to a complaint filed by Maninder Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab.

4.11.2019

In reply, CPIO/East had denied the requisite information as the year of enquiry/reference no. D-196/DSP/East/UT was not mentioned by the appellant in his RTI application.

So far as the appeal is concerned, now the appellant has mentioned the year of reference in question i.e. 2014. So, relevant records of CPIO's office and Public Window, PHQ were scrutinized thoroughly which revealed that a complaint bearing Public Window no. 2013-06589 was filed by Ms. Maninder Kaur R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1, Mohali, Punjab and the same had now been consigned to record in HAC branch since 30.10.2014.

However, the appellant has mentioned in his appeal that she had received summons from the office of DSP/East to appear before him on 18.01.2014 and 08.03.2014 but nowhere mentioned the relationship with the complainant or accused.

In view of above, CPIO/East is hereby directed to follow the provisions of section 11 of RTI act in the matter and take appropriate action as per law.

With these observations, the instant appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

4/11/2019
(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Ms. Ravneet Kaur,
R/o H. No. 748, Phase 3B1,
Mohali, Punjab.
2. CPIO/East
3. Computer Section ✓

HC Sanjay Kumar
For m/9

Kuldeep Kaur

Insp/computer
5/11/19