OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D- 652 - 654

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 15.//./9

Name of Appellant

Const. Dheeraj Tomer,

PCR Unit, Chandigarh Police.

Concerned CPIO

CPIO/East

Date of RTI application

12.10.2019

Reply of CPIO

17.10.2019

Date of 1st Appeal

21.10.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/East in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 191/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 21.10.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought CCTV footage dated 07 & 08.10.2019 of main entry gate of PS-Sector 26, Chandigarh from 05.30 PM to 07.00 PM and 05.30 PM to 08.30 PM respectively.

Comments of CPIO/East

CPIO/East submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office on 12.10.2019 for supplying the CCTV footage of PS-26. Thereafter, report from SHO/PS-26 was obtained who reported that CCTV footage of entry gate of police station cannot be supplied as the number of persons including informers, complainants and general public visits in the police station for various purposes which cannot be disclosed to anyone as the same may hamper the investigation process as well as cause harms the visitors therefore, the same was denied u/s 8(1) (g) & (h) of RTI act vide letter No. 498-RTI/CPIO/East dated 17.10.2019. Moreover, CCTV footage in question has been preserved.

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East vis-à-vis material available on record

J 15111200

which revealed that appellant had sought CCTV footage dated 07 & 08.10.2019 of main entry gate of PS-Sector 26, Chandigarh from 05.30 PM to 07.00 PM and 05.30 PM to 08.30 PM respectively.

In reply, CPIO/East had denied the CCTV footage of entry gate of Police Station 26 to the appellant u/s 8(1) (g) & (h) of RTI.

So far as the appeal is concerned, CPIO/East has asserted that CCTV footage of PS-26 cannot be supplied u/s 8(1) (g) & (h) of RTI act to the appellant as the identity of informers, complainants and general public who visits in the police station for various purposes cannot be disclosed to anyone on safety & security reasons. On the other side, appellant has contended that he is a constable in Chandigarh Police and posted as driver on Chetah Motor Cycle on 08.10.2019. On that day, Ct. Dinesh No. 6390/IRB got involved in a scuffle with him at Police Station Sector 26, therefore, he needs CCTV footage for presenting his case.

Considering the aforementioned contention of the appellant, CPIO/East is hereby directed to supply the requisite portion of CCTV footage of Police Station-26, as per RTI Act which is related with the appellant. With these observations, the instant appeal is hereby disposed of, accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS) Senior Superintendent of Police Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-1st Appellate Authority.

15/11/2019

^{1.} Const. Dheeraj Tomer, No. 303/CP, PCR Unit, Chandigarh

^{2.} CPIO/East

^{3.} Computer Section