OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-688-690 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated:** 27.11.19

Name of Appellant	:	Mr. Harpreet Singh, Chamber No. 208-A, Distt Court Sector 43, Chandigarh.
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/Central
Date of RTI application	:	04.10.2019
Reply of CPIOs	:	29.10.2019
Date of 1st Appeal	:	07.11.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/Central in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 201/UT/RTI/ FAA/SSP dated 07.11.2019 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought CCTV footage all cameras of PS Sector-11 and PP-24 from 02/03.10.2019 from 5.30 PM to 01.00 AM.

Comments of CPIO/Central:

CPIO/Central submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received on 04.10.2019 for supplying the CCTV footage of PS-11 and PP-24. Thereafter, report from SHO/PS-11 was obtained, who reported that footage of CCTV camera of Police station & police post cannot be supplied as there is every likelihood of leaking the secrecy of police station i.e. Malkhana Room of PS contained arms & ammunition and disclosing such CCTV footage of Police Station may be harmful to the Law & Order situation. Moreover, there is many heinous crime cases have been registered which would also impede the process of investigation of cases. Hence, in view of above report, requisite information was denied to the applicant u/s 8(1) (g) & (h) as per RTI Act. It is further submitted that CCTV footage of PS-11 for the requisite time period has been preserved. However, CCTV footage of PP-24 could not be preserved as the same is not available in hard drive due to overwritten by the system.

27/11/2019

Decision :

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought CCTV footage all cameras of PS Sector-11 and PP-24 from 02/03.10.2019 from 5.30 PM to 01.00 AM.

In reply, CPIO/Central submitted that requisite information was denied to the applicant u/s 8(1) (g) & (h) as per RTI Act as the same cannot be disclosed to the appellant on safety & security grounds.

Whereas CPIO/Central has submitted that CCTV footage of PP-24 is not available in the hard drive of the DVR system as the same was overwritten by the system itself after a specific period. Hence, CPIO/Central is directed to preserve the requisite CCTV footage forthwith in future after receipt of such type of RTI application.

Moreover, on perusal contents of appeal, it has been revealed that appellant is an advocate and seeking footage of his client Deepak Rawat. However, he has not submitted any relevant document/authority letter for obtaining information related to his client.

In view of above CPIO/Central is hereby directed to follow the provisions of Section 11 of RTI Act, if satisfy, supply only that portion of CCTV footage which is primarily related to appellant or his client as per RTI Act. With these observations, the instant appeal stands disposed of, accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

27/11/2019

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Harpreet Singh,
   R/o # Chamber No. 208 A, District Courts,
   Sector 43, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/Central
3. Computer Section

HC. Sanjay Kumar
for r/a.

Computer Section
dt 28/11/19