OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-78-81 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 23.02.19

Name of Appellant	:	Sh. Pardeep C/o Chamber No. 104, Advocate Chamber Complex, District & Sessions Court, Sector 43, Chandigarh.
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/East
Date of RTI application	:	19.01.2019
Reply of CPIOs	:	29.01.2019
Date of 1st Appeal	:	30.01.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal through online RTI portal vide registration No. PODEP/A/2019/60003 dated 30.01.2019 against the reply/order of CPIO/East with the contentions that requite information was not supplied to him. The same was diarized vide No. R-18/UT/RTI/SSP dated 31.01.2019.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 4 points i.e. copy of complete police investigation report in DDR No. 91 dated 23.10.2018 PS Mani Majra, whether FIR was registered in the said DDR and if no FIR is registered, then why not etc.

Comments of CPIO/East

CPIO/East submitted that RTI application was received in her office through online RTI portal bearing registration No. PODEP/R/2019/50176 dated 19.01.2019. Thereafter, comments of SHO/MM were obtained who reported that DDR No. 91 dated 23.10.2018 was related with complaints nos. PW201818116, PW201818180 & PW201818792 which were already sent to senior officers on 04.01.2019 for final decision through I/C CRU after completion of enquiries. So, RTI application w.r.t. point nos. 1 & 2 was transferred to CPIO/PHQ for providing the requisite information. The requisite information w.r.t. point nos. 3 & 4 was supplied to the appellant vide order No. 33-RTI/CPIO/East dated 25.01.2019.

Comments of CPIO/PHQ

CPIO/PHQ submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received from CPIO/East through online RTI portal bearing registration No. PODEP/R/2019/50176/1 dated 19.01.2019 for supplying the information on point No. 1 & 3. Thereafter, relevant record was obtained from I/C CRU and I/C HAC Branch. Thereafter, appellant has authorize Ms. Katyayni Dwevedi for receiving information on his behalf. Accordingly, relevant files were inspected by Ms. Katyayni Dwevedi and the requisite information (59 pages) was received by her on 15.02.2019. 23/2/19

Decision :

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East & PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 4 points i.e. copy of complete police investigation in DDR No. 91 dated 23.10.2018 PS Mani Majra, whether FIR was registered in the said DDR and if no FIR is registered then why not etc

In reply, CPIO/East had transferred the RTI application to CPIO/PHQ with regard to Point Nos. 1 & 3 and information on point No. 2 & 4 was furnished to the appellant.

Thereafter, CPIO/PHQ had submitted that requisite information of point Nos. 1 & 3 were received by Ms. Katyayni Dwevedi on behalf of the appellant after inspection of relevant record.

So far as the appeal is concerned, the requisite information (59 pages) has already been received by Ms. Katyayni Dwevedi on behalf of the appellant. Hence, no more action is required to be taken on the instant appeal and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, as above, he can file second appeal within 90 days under the RTI Act before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110067.

23/12/2019

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Pardeep C/o Chamber No. 104,
   Advocate Chamber Complex, District &
   Sessions Court, Sector 43, Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/East
3. CPIO/PHQ
4. Computer Section

Sanjay
for MA

Insp.
Computer Section
dt 25/12/19