OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-82-84

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 26.02.19

Name of Appellant

Mr. Hari Krishan,

R/o # 1092, Sector 07, Panchkula.

Concerned CPIOs

CPIO/East

Date of RTI application

27.12.2018

Reply of CPIOs

07.01.2019

Date of 1st Appeal

01.02.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/East with the contentions that requite information was not supplied to him. The same was diarized vide No. R-19/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 01.02.2019.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought tenant information forms submitted by Deepak Narang and Suman Narang for the year 2017 & 2018 in respect of H. No. 250, Sector 21 A, Chandigarh.

Comments of CPIO/East:

CPIO/East submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in her office directly from the appellant for seeking tenant information forms submitted by Deepak Narang and Suman Narang for the year 2017 & 2018 in respect of H. No. 250, Sector 21 A, Chandigarh. In this regard, information was sought from SHO PS Sector 19, Chandigarh who submitted that 13 Tenant Information Forms were found submitted by Smt. Suman Narang for the year 2017-2018 in respect of H. No. 250, Sector 21 A, Chandigarh. However, no Tenant Information Form was found with regard to Deepak Narang. Hence, requisite information was denied vide No. 576-RTI/CPIO/East Dated 07.01.2019 to the appellant under section 8 (1) (e) & (j) of RTI Act.

726/2/2019

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought tenant information forms submitted by Deepak Narang and Suman Narang for the year 2017 & 2018 in respect of H. No. 250, Sector 21 A, Chandigarh.

In reply, CPIO/East denied the requisite information to the appellant under section 8 (1) (e) & (j) of RTI Act.

So far as the appeal is concerned, it is evident from the documents submitted by the appellant that he is the registered owner of house No. 250 Sector 21A, Chandigarh and he sought the copies of tenant information forms given by Deepak Narang or Suman Narang for the year 2017-2018. CPIO has wrongly denied the requisite information by applying section 8 (1) (e) & (j) of RTI Act.

In view of above, CPIO/East is hereby directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per RTI Act.

With aforementioned observations, the appeal of the appellant is disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, as above, he can file second appeal within 90 days before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 under the RTI Act.

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Hari Krishan, R/o # 1092, Sector 07, Panchkula.

2. CPIO/East

3. Computer Section

tic Sanjay

for nig

Kull

Insp,

Emputer Seeding

dt. 27/2119