

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-97- 99

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 08.03.19

Name of Appellant

Mr. Lila Dhar,

R/o # 281, Sector 7A

UT, Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs

CPIO/PHQ

Date of RTI application

18.12.2018

Reply of CPIOs

22.01.2019

Date of 1st Appeal

04.02.2019

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/PHQ with the contentions that requite information was not supplied to him. Accordingly, it was diarized vide No. R-22/UT/RTI/SSP dated 04.02.2019 for further proceeding in accordance with RTI Act.

Information sought vide RTI application:

The appellant had sought information on 6 points with regard to complaint no. 201718700 dated 28.12.2017 i.e. copy of entire case file, copy of FIR, if registered and progress of the enquiry etc.

Comments of CPIO/PHQ

CPIO/PHQ submitted that RTI application was received in his office from CPIO/Central vide letter No. 484/RTI/CPIO/Central dated 04.01.2019 for supplying the requisite information. Thereafter, relevant information was obtained I/C CRU who reported that relevant file i.e. 18700/2017 was under consideration with senior officer for final decision and the same could not supplied. Accordingly, the requisite information was denied u/s 8(1) (h) of RTI Act to the appellant vide letter No. 57/DSP/Hqrs/RTI-19, D-66 dated 22.01.2019. As for as the appeal is concerned, the above said complaint has been filed and presently consigned with HAC Branch.

781312019

Decision:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant had sought information on 6 points with regard to complaint no. 201718700 dated 28.12.2017 i.e. copy of entire case file, copy of FIR, if registered and progress of the enquiry etc.

In reply, CPIO/PHQ had submitted that requisite information was denied to the appellant u/s 8(1) (h) of RTI act at that time.

So far as the appeal is concerned, the compliant in question has now been decided and consigned with HAC Branch. Hence, the same can be supplied to the appellant.

In view of above, CPIO/PHQ is hereby directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per RTI Act.

With these observations, the appeal stands dispose of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, as above, he can file second appeal within 90 days under the RTI Act before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110067.

(Nilambari Jagadale, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Lila Dhar, R/o # 281, Sector 7A UT, Chandigarh

2. CPIO/PHQ

3. Computer Section

For neg

Kien Kan,

Insp.

Compater Section

Ad h/13/19