

## OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-110 - 11 & /UT/RTI/S

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated: 13.04.2022

Name of Appellant

Mr. Pardeep Sharma,

R/o # 3032, Sector-35D, Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs

CPIO/ PHQ

Date of RTI application

25.11.2021

Date of CPIO's Reply

03.03.2022

Date of 1st Appeal

07.03.2022

ORDER

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/PHQ in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him and the same was diarized vide No. 18/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 07.03.2022 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

## INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

The appellant had sought information on 05 points regarding properties purchased by Sh. Omvir Singh Bishnoi, IGP, the then DIG, UT Chandigarh i.e. reason to purchase plot in Panchkla, Source of money, certified copies of properties and assets purchased in the name of wife and father, duty rooster & responsibilities of of W/DIG, certified copies of entry registration of each lower judiciary visit taken by W/DIG etc.

## COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ: -

Comments of CPIO/PHQ have been obtained who submitted that sought information is not specific and vague in nature. Moreover, sought information is personal information of individual and the same was denied u/s 8 (1) (j) of RTI Act appellant being third party.

## DECISION:-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on 05 regarding properties purchased by Sh. Omvir Singh Bishnoi, IGP, the then DIG, UT Chandigarh i.e. reason to purchase plot in Panchkla, Source of money, certified copies of properties and assets purchased in the name of wife and father, duty rooster &

responsibilities of W/DIG, certified copies of entry registration of each lower judiciary and High Judiciary visits taken by W/DIG etc.

In reply, CPIO/PHQ has denied the sought information being vague in nature and being personal information of individual.

As far as appeal in concerned, CPIO/PHQ has appropriately denied the sought information  $u/s\ 8(1)(j)$  of RTI Act, as the information is purely personal in nature. Moreover, appellant has also sought the details of visits of DIG, UT Chandigarh to various lower and high judiciary officials being the officer-incharge of their security which is also an objectionable information and denied  $u/s\ 8(1)(g)$  of RTI act as the disclosure of information would endanger the life and physical safety of concerned officials and also a security related information.

With these directions, appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

UD.

(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS) Senior Superintendent of Police Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

 Mr. Pardeep Sharma R/o # 3032, Sector-35D, Chandigarh,

2. CPIO/PHQ

3. Computer Section.