CHANDIGARH POLICE

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-156-161 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 25.5.21

Name of Appellant	:	Ms. Vanita Sharma, R/o # 408 Vill. Daria, Chandigarh.
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/South, East & PHQ
Date of RTI application	:	24.02.2021
Date of CPIO's Reply	:	23.03.2021
Date of 1st Appeal	:	27.04.2021

O R D E R

* * * * * * *

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South, East & PHQ in connection with her RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to her. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 33/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 27.04.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

Appellant had sought information on 27 points on various issues.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/EAST: -

CPIO/East submitted that appellant has sought information through CAPIO/PHQ vide Public Window No. 187/RTI/CAPIO/(PHQ)/UT/

PWS dated 24.02.2021 for supplying requisite information. Thereafter, report from SHO/PS-I/A was obtained and thereafter, requisite information on point Nos. 2, 8,9 & 11 was supplied to the appellant and Point nos. 10,15,18,19,20,21,23 & 25 were denied u/s 8(1)(g) & (j) of RTI Act. Information of point No. 1, 3 & 4 is a confidential record which cannot be disclosed to anyone. Moreover, remaining other points were not related with him.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ: -

CPIO/PHQ submitted that appellant has sought information through CAPIO/PHQ vide Public Window No. 187/RTI/CAPIO/(PHQ)/ UT/PWS dated 24.02.2021 for supplying requisite information. Points No. 6, 26, & 27 were related with him. Thereafter, information on point No. 6 was pertaining to police officials of PP-Daria and the same was denied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. Point No. 27 was related with complaint No. 2020-22257 & 2020-20445. Both complaints were u/s enquiry with Police Complaint Cell, so denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI Act. As of now, these complaints were filed and the same was being supplied to appellant in another RTI No. 233/CPIO/Hqrs./RTI-21. Information on point No. 26 was already supplied.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/SOUTH: -

CPIO/South submitted that appellant has sought information through CAPIO/PHQ vide Public Window No. 187/RTI/CAPIO/(PHQ)/ UT/PWS dated 24.02.2021 for supplying requisite information. Thereafter, reports from all SHOs of Sub Divn. South were obtained and information pertaining to point No. 9 & 11 were provided to appellant. Vide No. 113/CPIO/South dated 18.03.2021.

DECISION:-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information sought information on 27 points on various issues.

As for as appeal is concerned with CPIO/PHQ, it is clear that Point No. 26 & 27 has already been supplied to the appellant. Moreover, point No. 6 is a personals information Police officials of PP-Daria and they had submitted their dissent for supplying their personal information to anyone. Moreover, no larger public interest is seen for disclosing such personal information, hence, CPIO/PHQ had rightly applied section 8(1) (j) of RTI Act.

CPIO/South & Central had already supplied requisite information relating with him to the appellant, as per RTI Act.

As for as this appeal is concerned with CPIO/East, it is clear that information on point No. 1, 3 & 4 i.e. Roznamcha register, Naka points & places which contained various information pertaining to investigation cases and personal information which cannot be disclosed. Moreover, by supplying such information it may disclose the identity of source of information/informers to detect and curb the crime in the jurisdiction Police Post Daria and the same are protected under section 8(1)(g)(h) & (j) of RTI Act. Moreover, appellant had not sought any specific information which can be furnish to her, hence, CPIO had rightly denied the above points.

Whereas, point Nos. 10,15,18,19,20,21,23 & 25 have also been minutely examined which revealed that appellant wants personal information of police officials of PP-Daria i.e. detail of private vehicle of these officials, insurance policy, property detail, detail of mobile number of these police officials, detail of family members along with age and education

98155 64 819

95 8 2 703 287

institution, detail of employed family member and home address of police officials along with detail of electricity meter of last three years etc. It is clear that above said information is personal information of police officials and no large public interest can be achieved by disclosing such personal information. Hence, CPIO/East had appropriately applied section 8(1)(g) & (j) of RTI Act.

Moreover, point No. 2, 8, 9 & 11 was supplied to the appellant and other points are related with other CPIOs of Chandigarh Police, accordingly, the instant appeal was transferred to concerned First Appellate Authorities vide this office No. D-123-127/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 11.05.2021 for deciding the same as per RTI Act.

In view of above, no more action is warranted in the appeal, hence, the same is hereby disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Ms. Vanita Sharma,
   R/o # 408 Vill. Daria, Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/PHQ & South & East, Central.
3. Computer Section.