



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. 0-198-200

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 04-07-2022

Name of Appellant : Sh. Ram Kumar Garg,
R/o # 3328, Sector 27 D,
Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/Police Lines

Date of RTI application : 24.04.2022

Date of CPIO's Reply : 29.04.2022

Date of 1st Appeal : 26.05.2022

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal bearing no. PODEP/A/E/22/00057 dated 26.05.2022 through online RTI portal against the reply of CPIO/Police Lines in connection with his RTI application bearing no. PODEP/R/E/22/00281 dated 24.04.2022 with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION :-

The appellant had sought information on 05 points pertaining to details/data of police persons and home guard doing security duties at VIPs offices/residences and out station duties from Chandigarh, copy of orders issued for providing security, details of money and bills for providing security and details of amount due from Punjab Raj Bhawan for diesel petrol etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/Police Lines :-

CPIO/Police Lines reported that from the contents of RTI application point nos. 03 & 05 found related to his office and the information was denied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI act being third party information having no public activity or interest.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Police Lines vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the RTI application of the appellant was transferred to CPIO/Police Lines, Security Wing and Accounts for disposal at their own end on the points concerning to their respective units so, the instant appeal has also been transferred to concerned FAAs. The appellant was also apprised accordingly.

So far as the information sought vide point no. 03 of RTI application is concerned i.e. "Supply detail/data of police officers and Home Guards deputed on security and other duties outside Chandigarh Jurisdiction as per order of Administration, Central Govt. and Court orders", the same was wrongly denied by CPIO/Police Lines as

**REPORT OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
ON THE PROSECUTION OF THE CHARGE**

Appellant: Mr. [Name]
Appellant's Address: [Address]
Appellant's Occupation: [Occupation]
Appellant's Date of Birth: [Date]
Appellant's Date of Arrival: [Date]
Appellant's Date of Departure: [Date]
Appellant's Date of Return: [Date]

The appellant has been charged with the offence of [Offence] under section [Section] of the [Act]. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution.

COMMENT OF CHIEF POLICE: [Comment]
 The Chief of Police has reviewed the evidence presented by the prosecution and has concluded that the evidence is sufficient to establish the appellant's guilt. The Chief of Police has concluded that the evidence is sufficient to establish the appellant's guilt. The Chief of Police has concluded that the evidence is sufficient to establish the appellant's guilt.

DECISION: [Decision]
 The appellant has been charged with the offence of [Offence] under section [Section] of the [Act]. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution.

The appellant has been charged with the offence of [Offence] under section [Section] of the [Act]. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution. The appellant has been charged with this offence on the basis of the evidence presented by the prosecution.


figures of Police Officers and Home Guards (without other/personal details) can be supplied for a specific date as per duty roaster of Police Lines.

Henceforth, CPIO/Police Lines is directed to supply the figures of Police Officers and Home Guards (without other/personal details) deputed on **security and other duties outside Chandigarh Jurisdiction for a specific date** (after confirming from appellant) to the appellant as per duty roaster of the Police Lines.

So far as point no. 05 of RTI application is concerned that has already been dealt by the SSP/Hqrs-cum-FAA, hence, no more action is required to be taken on this point.

With these observations appeal stands disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his 1st appeal, he can file second appeal to the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, CIC Bhawan, Baba Ganganath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.


(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Sh. Ram Kumar Garg,
R/o # 3328, Sector-27 D,
Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/Police Lines
3. Computer Section

