

#### CHANDIGARH POLICE

# OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-198-200 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 23.06.2041

Name of Appellant

Mr. Manjit Singh

R/o # 2877, Phase 7, Mohali.

Concerned CPIOs

CPIO/Central

Date of RTI application

05.03.2021

Date of CPIO's Reply

16.03.2021

Date of 1st Appeal

21.05.2021

ORDER \*\*\*\*\*

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply of CPIO/Central in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized 45/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 21.05.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

## INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

The appellant had sought copies of General Diary dated 02.11.2019 from 1600 Hours to 2359 Hours of Police Station Sector-3. Chandigarh.

### COMMENTS OF CPIO/CENTRAL:-

CPIO/Central submitted that appellant has sought copies of DDR dated 02.11.2019 of Police Station Sector-3, Chandigarh. Thereafter, report from SHO-03 was obtained who submitted that appellant had sought copies of DDRs dated 02.11.2019 from 1600 Hrs to 2359 Hrs which contains various personal information of other persons and also investigation works of various cases. Moreover, appellant had not disclosed any relevancy of seeking these DDRs. Hence, the requisite information was declined u/s 8(1)(g)& (j) of RTI Act. CPIO/Central had further submitted that appellant was telephonically apprised that RTI reply was already sent to his given address. On this, appellant informed that he had not received any information and also said that he will

personally collect reply of RTI application from the office but he did not visit his office till date.

#### DECISION:-

I have gone through the contents of RTl application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought copies of General Diary dated 02.11.2019 from 1600hrs to 2359 hrs.

Insofar appeal is concerned; on carefully perusal the record in hand i.e. RTI application, appeal of appellant as well as reply of CPIO/Central it is clear that appellant had not sought any specific information/DDR for the said date i.e. 02.011.2019 and beside this, these DDRs contains personal information of various persons like complainant, police informers & accused or suspected person and investigation work of police station. By disclosing such personal & confidential information, safety & Security of complainant, witnesses as well as identity of police informer are at stake. The same is protected under the provisions of section 8(1)((g) (j) of RTI Act. Moreover, no larger public interest can be achieved by disclosing such information. Hence, CPIO/Central had aptly applied section 8(1) (g) & (j) of RTI Act.

However, in achieving the objective of RTI Act, CPIO/Central is hereby directed to ask the appellant about specific/information which he requires and if available, supply the same to him subject to above observations. Accordingly, instant appeal is hereby disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

LL.

(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum1st Appellate Authority.

 Mr. Manjit Singh R/o # 2877, Phase 7, Mohali.

CPIO/Central
 Computer Section.