



**CHANDIGARH POLICE  
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

Appeal Order No.	D-217-219	/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 10.07.2024
Name of Appellant	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar R/o H. No. VIP Road, M-402, Sunrise Society, Zirakpur, Mohali, 140603.
Concerned CPIO	:	CPIO/East
Date of RTI application	:	19.05.2024
Reply of CPIO	:	18.06.2024
Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	:	18.06.2024

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred online 1<sup>st</sup> appeal bearing no. PODEP/A/E/24/00043 dated 18.06.2024 against the disposal of his RTI application bearing no. PODEP/R/E/24/00331/1 dated 19.05.2024 by CPIO/East with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

**Information sought vide RTI application :-**

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 19.05.2024 has sought information on 05 points i.e. copy of reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar in order issued by PSHRC on dated 01.12.2023 or reference DDR no. 0004, reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar as per DDR No. 0004 as how is it possible that complaint no. PW202207500 is still running in record after it was withdrawn or filed, reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar against the kidnapping video, why was his medical not conducted and kindly share the complete investigation report of complaint no. ICMS/2024/013718.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/East :-**

CPIO/East vide his comments submitted that RTI application was received in his office to obtain requisite information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO-19 who denied the requisite information to the appellant as no record is available with the Police Station. Appellant was apprised about the same vide no. 86+ A+ B-RTI/CPIO/East dated 18.06.2024.

**DECISION :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed

that the appellant vide his RTI application has sought information on 05 points i.e. copy of reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar in order issued by PSHRC on dated 01.12.2023 or reference DDR no. 0004, reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar as per DDR No. 0004 as how is it possible that complaint no. PW202207500 is still running in record after it was withdrawn or filed, reply given by ASI Vijay Kumar against the kidnapping video, why was his medical not conducted and kindly share the complete investigation report of complaint no. ICMS/2024/013718.

In reply, CPIO/East denied the sought information to the appellant as no such record was available with them.

Now as far as the appeal is concerned, CPIO/East has appropriately denied the sought information to the appellant as no such record was available with the CPIO/East. Hence, no action is required to be taken in this regard.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

Mr. Vinod Kumar  
R/o H. No. VIP Road, M-402,  
Sunrise Society, Zirakpur, Mohali, 140603  
Mob. Mob. 98154-44017  
Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/East
- 2 Computer Section.