



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. **D-228-231** /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : **16/07/22**
Name of Appellant : Sh. Daljit Singh,
R/o H. No. 16 FF, Tribune Society
Complex, Raipur Khurd, Chandigarh
Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/East and Hqrs
Date of RTI application : 18.04.2022
Date of CPIO's Replies : 20.04.2022 by CPIO/East & on
10.05.2022 by CPIO/Hqrs
Date of two 1st Appeals : 14.06.2022

ORDER

The appellant has preferred two separate 1st appeals on dated 14.06.2022 (which were diarized vide this office nos. 58 & 59/UT/RTI/SSP dated 14.06.2022 against the reply/order of CPIO/East and Hqrs in connection with his same RTI application dated 18.04.2022 with the contention that requisite information was not supplied to him by both CPIOs.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION :-

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 18.04.2022 had sought information regarding enquiry of complaint bearing PWS No. 2021-17171 dated 06.08.2021 filed by Raj Kumar against the appellant and others.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/East and Hqrs :-

Comments of both the CPIOs i.e. East and Hqrs have been obtained who submitted that RTI application of the appellant was initially received in the office of CPIO/East on 18.04.2022 regarding supply of information relates to complaint no. 2021-17171 dated 06.08.2021. As the complaint had already been sent to senior officers for final decision, hence, the RTI application of the appellant was transferred to CPIO/Hqrs.

CPIO/Hqrs after obtaining the information from the quarter concerned, informed the appellant that complaint in question is still under consideration, thereby, denied the information u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East and Hqrs vis-à-vis material available on

record which revealed that at the time of disposing off the RTI application by the both the CPIOs, the complaint in question was under enquiry, hence, the information was rightly denied by the CPIOs as the complaint in question was filed against the appellant.

So far as the present status of the complaint is concerned, enquiry into the same has been completed and case FIR No. 90 dated 09.07.2022 u/s 406, 420, 341, 448 & 120B IPC PS Mauli Jagran has been registered against the appellant and others. Hence, the requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI act being accused in this case. Hence, no more action is warranted on both the appeals and the same are hereby rejected and disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



**(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.**

**Sh. Daljit Singh,
R/o H. No. 16 FF, Tribune Society Complex,
Raipur Khurd, Chandigarh**

Copies to:-

1. CPIO/East
2. CPIO/Hqrs
3. ~~Computer Section.~~

*Hc Sanjay for n/a
Kuldeep
18.7.22*