



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.

Appeal Order No. **D-251-253 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 13/05/2025**

Name of Appellant : Mr. Manjit Singh,
SCF No. 248, Motor Market,
Sector-13, Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIO : CPIO/Admn

Date of RTI application : 19.02.2025

Reply of CPIO : 07.05.2025

Date of 1st Appeal : **SSP** 08.04.2025

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal bearing dated 08.04.2025 against the disposal of his RTI application dated 19.02.2025 by CPIO/Admn with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

Information sought vide RTI application :-

The appellant vide his RTI application has sought information regarding complaint no. P-1005 dated 01.11.2023 filed against him i.e. details of complainant, date and time of complaint, complete content of complaint, action taken report on the compliant etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/Admn :-

CPIO/Admn vide his comments submitted that the RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to obtain the requisite information and the application was transferred to CPIO/North-East for providing the sought information. As per the report of CPIO/North-East, no any reference no. P1005 was received in Police Station. However, a reference no. D-1005/Rd/Crime/SSP/UT dated 01.11.2023 was received in Police Station and after the compliance, the same was sent to senior officers. Thereafter, the requisite information was obtained from Rd/Crime/SSP/UT, who reported that reference no. D-1005 pertains to intelligence input and sharing of same would endanger the life or physical safety of source of information. Hence, the sought information was denied as per the provisions of section 8 (1) (g) of RTI Act, 2005 and on 07.05.2025, a denial letter was given to the appellant by hand vide office letter no. 226/RTI-25/DSP/Admn dated 06.05.2025.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Admn vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide his RTI application has sought information regarding complaint no. P-1005 dated 01.11.2023 filed against him i.e. details of complainant, date and time of complaint, complete content of complaint, action taken report on the compliant etc.

In reply, CPIO/Admn has denied the sought information as per the provisions of section 8 (1) (g) of RTI Act, 2005.

Now, as far as the appeal is concerned, CPIO has appropriately denied the sought information to the appellant as per provisions of section 8 (1) (g) of RTI act, as the disclosure of the same would endanger the life and physical safety of any person or disclose the identity of the source of information or assistance given in confidence of law enforcement or security purpose.

Moreover, there has been no delay on the part of CPIO in disposing of the RTI application, as applicant has provided the wrong details and CPIO has to put in extra efforts to trace out the right reference.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

Mr. Manjit Singh,
SCF No. 248, Motor Market,
Sector-13, Chandigarh.

Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/Admn.
- 2 Computer Section.