



CHANDIGARH POLICE

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

Appeal Order No.	D-276-278	/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 19.07.2023
Name of Appellant	:	Sh. Sukhdarshan Singh, R/o 3510, Sector 23-D, Chandigarh
Concerned CPIO	:	CPIO/Central
Date of RTI application	:	25.04.2023
Reply of CPIO	:	20.05.2023
Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	:	20.06.2023

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 20.06.2023 against the disposal of his online RTI application bearing No. PODEP/R/E/23/00322 dated 25.04.2023 by CPIO/Central with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

**Information sought vide RTI application :-**

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 20.04.2023 had sought information regarding tenants residing at 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of House No. 3511, Sector 23D, Chandigarh which was submitted to Police.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/Central :-**

CPIO/Central vide his comments submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to obtain information regarding the tenants residing at 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of House No. 3511, Sector 23D, Chandigarh which was submitted to local Police. Accordingly, consent of owner of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Floors of House No. 3511, Sector 23D, Chandigarh, Mrs. Neelam Khosla was obtained, who gave a written statement that personal information of her and her tenants should not be provided to any third party, so the sought information was denied to the applicant as per provisions of section 8 (1) (j) & (g) of RTI Act, 2005 vide letter No. 108/RTI-23/CPIO-Central dated 20.05.2023.

**DECISION :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Central vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information regarding tenants residing at 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of House No. 3511, Sector 23D, Chandigarh which was submitted to Police.



In reply, CPIO/Central denied the requisite information to the appellant u/s 8(1) (j) & (g) of RTI Act, 2005, being personal information after taking consent of the owner of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Floors of H. No. 3511, Sector 23D, Chandigarh.

So far as the appeal is concerned, appellant has sought information of tenants residing at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> floors of House No. 3511, Sector 23 D, Chandigarh being owner of 50% of the said house (ground floor of the said house). However, sought information is related to the other 50% share of the said house (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> floors of the said house) which is owned by other person/lady namely Mrs. Neelam Khosla, so requisite information is not directly related to the appellant and CPIO rightly denied the requisite information u/s 8(1)(J) of RTI act being personal information after taking consent of the owner of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Floors the said house. Moreover, there is no larger public interest involved in disclosing this information.

Hence, no more action is required to be taken on the instant appeal, accordingly, the same stands disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

Sh. Sukhdarshan Singh  
R/o H. No. 3510, Sector 23-D,  
Chandigarh Mob. 84379-03510  
Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/Central
- 2 Computer Section.