



**CHANDIGARH POLICE**

**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup>  
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH**

Appeal Order No. D-290-292

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 19.08.2021

Name of Appellant : Mr. Akshit Puri  
R/o # 3232, Sector 35-D,  
Chandigarh.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/South

Date of RTI application : 02.06.2021

Date of CPIO's Reply : 30.06.2021

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 28.07.2021

**ORDER**

\*\*\*\*\*

The appellant has preferred 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against the reply of CPIO/South in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 70/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 28.07.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-**

The appellant had sought information on 07 points on various issues pertaining to case FIR 95 dated 17.04.2020, PS-36, Chandigarh i.e. status of FIR & copies of DDRs, Zimni for the period of 17.04.2020 to 20.04.2020 etc.

### **COMMENTS OF CPIO/SOUTH: -**

CPIO/South submitted that appellant has sought information vide his RTI application. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO/PS-36, Chandigarh and points wise requisite information were supplied to the appellant except point no. 07 which was denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI Act being under investigation at that time. Hence, no more information is left for supplying, therefore, his appeal may be disposed of. .

### **DECISION:-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on 07 points on various issues pertaining to case FIR 95 dated 17.04.2020, PS-36, Chandigarh i.e. status of FIR & copies of DDRs, Zimni for the period of 17.04.2020 to 20.04.2020 etc.

Insofar appeal is concerned, it is explicitly clear that requisite information on point no. 2, 4, 5 & 6 has already been provided to the appellant, hence, no more action is required to be taken on these points.

From the perusal of point 1 & 3, it is clear that appellant has sought information in questioning form which are not covered under the definition of 'Information' defined in section 2 (f) and (j) of RTI Act. For more clarification, the same is reiterated as under:-

- (f) *"Information means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form".*



- (j) "Right to information" means the right to information accessible under this Act which is held by or under the control of any public authority....."

The bare reading of above definition of 'information' categorically clarified that applicant can seek only such information which is available in any material form with the CPIO. But in the present case, appellant has raised his grievances/queries which cannot be redressed under RTI Act as RTI Act is a mechanism for furnishing information only.

With regard to point No. point no. 7, appellant had sought the copies of documents i.e. DDRs etc upon which CPIO/South had aptly applied section 8(1)(h) of RTI Act as the FIR in question i.e. 95/2020 is still under investigation. Hence, no further action is warranted in the instant appeal and accordingly disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



**(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)**  
**Senior Superintendent of Police**  
**Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-**  
**1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.**

1. Mr. Akshit Puri  
R/o # 3232, Sector 35-D, Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/South
3. Computer Section.