



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.

Appeal Order No. D-313-315 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 11/06/2025

Name of Appellant : Mr. Pawan Saroha
R/o H No. 473/1, Pipli Wala Town,
Manimajra, Chandigarh,
Mobile : 9813603637

Concerned CPIO : CPIO/North-East

Date of RTI application : 18.04.2025

Reply of CPIO : 07.05.2025

Date of 1st Appeal : 09.05.2025

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal dated 09.05.2025 against the disposal of his RTI application dated 18.04.2025 by CPIO/North-East with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

Information sought vide RTI application :-

The appellant vide his RTI application had sought point wise information regarding case FIR no. 138 dated 18.12.2024 u/s 333, 351, 126 (2), 115 (2) BNS, PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh i.e. copy of summon if issued by court to L/C Mintu 4584/CP, Copy of departure DDR of L/C Mintu 4584/CP, How L/C Mintu 4584/CP reached the court room in Police uniform if she gave the reason of personal matter at her duty point and whether any police action taken against L/C Mintu 4584/CP for wearing uniform in the court , if taken then provide the copy of same, Copy of summon if issued by I/O HC Jagminder Singh 595/CP to L/C Mintu 4584/CP for dated 28.12.2024.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/North-East :-

CPIO/North-East vide his comments submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to supply the information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from SHO PS-Mauli Jagran and SHO PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh who reported that case FIR No. 138 dated 18.12.2024 U/s 333, 351, 126 (2), 115 (2) BNS, PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh has been registered on the complaint of L/C Mintu 4584/CP against Ct. Pawan Saroha and case FIR No. 25 dated 10.04.2025 U/s 351, 126(2), 115(2) BNS, PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh has been registered on the complaint of Pawan Saroha against L/C Mintu 4584/CP and others. At this stage, both the cases are under investigation and the sought information relates to these cases. Hence, sought information i.e. Point No. 1 to 3 was denied to the appellant as per the provisions of section 8 (1) (h) of RTI Act. However, information related to point no. 4 was provided to the appellant. The reply was sent to the applicant vide letter No. 52/RTI/CPIO/North-East dated 07.05.2025.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/North-East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide his RTI application had sought point wise information regarding case FIR no. 138 dated 18.12.2024 u/s 333, 351, 126 (2), 115 (2) BNS, PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh i.e. copy of summon if issued by court to L/C Mintu 4584/CP, Copy of departure DDR of L/C Mintu 4584/CP, How L/C Mintu 4584/CP reached the court room in Police uniform if she gave the reason of personal matter at her duty point and whether any police action taken against L/C Mintu 4584/CP for wearing uniform in the court , if taken then provide the copy of same, Copy of summon if issued by I/O HC Jagminder Singh 595/CP to L/C Mintu 4584/CP for dated 28.12.2024.

In reply, CPIO/North-East has denied the requisite information to the applicant, as per the provisions of section 8 (1) (h) of RTI Act.

Now as far as appeal is concerned, information pertaining to points no. 1 & 2 (copy of summon if issued by court to L/C Mintu 4584/CP, Copy of departure DDR of L/C Mintu 4584/CP), CPIO/North-East has appropriately disposed of the RTI application as case FIR no. 138 dated 18.12.2024 u/s 333, 351, 126 (2), 115 (2) BNS, PS-Manimajra, Chandigarh is still under investigation. Therefore, requisite information cannot be provided at this stage also.

Information pertaining to point no. 03 (How L/C Mintu 4584/CP reached the court room in Police uniform if she gave the reason of personal matter at her duty point and whether any police action taken against L/C Mintu 4584/CP for wearing uniform in the court , if taken then provide the copy of same), appellant has raised question/query which are not entertainable under RTI Act and falls outside the purview of section 2(f) of RTI Act. The information sought in the RTI application is vague in nature.

Moreover, Hon'ble Central Information Commission, New Delhi in Complaint No.CIC/RBIND/C/2020/664244 titled as "Shishir Gupta Vs. Reserve Bank of India also cleared the matter as under :-

"The CPIO cannot create information in the manner as sought by the applicant. The CPIO is only a communicator of information based on the records held in the office and hence, he cannot expected to do research work to deduce anything from the material therein and then supply it to him".

Further, information pertaining to point no. 4 (Copy of summon if issued by I/O HC Jagminder Singh 595/CP to L/C Mintu 4584/CP for dated 28.12.2024), CPIO has already provided the same to the appellant. Hence, no action is required on this point.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of her appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

Mr. Pawan Saroha
R/o H No. 473/1, Pipli Wala Town,
Manimajra, Chandigarh.

Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/North-East
- 2 Computer Section