



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-32-34 /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 21.01.2022

Name of Appellant : Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj
2369, Block 37, Sector-63, Chandigarh

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/South

Date of RTI application : 20.10.2021

Date of CPIO's Reply : 08.11.2021

Date of 1st Appeal : 14.12.2021

ORDER

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/South in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 142/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 14.12.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

Appellant had sought information on 08 points relating to vehicles recovered in jurisdiction of PS-31, Chd. i.e. provide list and details of vehicles recovered in last 03 years, identification of owner/insurance of recovered vehicles, list of non-identification of owner/insurance company, date of recovery of Toyota fortuner vehicle no. HR101111, DL8CAU6186 and DL10CL2564, Forensic report (FSL) done on these vehicles etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/South: -

CPIO/South submitted that application of the appellant was received online for supplying requisite information. Required information was obtained from SHO-31, who submitted that applicant did not mention any relation with the above said matter. Moreover, the disclosure of information has no relationship to any public activity or interest and which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. Hence, denied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, being third party information. Appellant has been apprised vide memo no. 572/CPIO/South dated 08.11.2021.

DECISION:-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on 08 points relating to

vehicles recovered in jurisdiction of PS-31, Chd. i.e. provide list and details of vehicles recovered in last 03 years, identification of owner/insurance of recovered vehicles, list of non-identification of owner/insurance company, date of recovery of Toyota fortuner vehicle no. HR101111, DL8CAU6186 and DL10CL2564, Forensic report (FSL) done on these vehicles etc.

Insofar as appeal is concerned, vide point no. 01-06, appellant has sought information regarding recovery of vehicles, identification of owner, forensic test done to identify owner, list of auctioned vehicles and efforts made to identify owners of such vehicles, which was rightly denied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI Act by CPIO. However, part information can be supplied to the appellant without disclosing the personal information. Hence, CPIO/South is hereby directed to supply the number of vehicles without disclosing the personal information of the owners of vehicles regarding these points as per RTI Act.

With regard to point nos. 07 & 08, it is clear that appellant has not mentioned his relation /concern with the information. Moreover, no larger public interest can be served by disclosing such information and would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual, thus, CPIO/South had appropriately applied section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. Accordingly, appeal stand disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj,
2369, Block 37, Sector-63, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/South
- ✓ 3. Computer Section.