



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st APPELLATE
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. **346-348**

/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : **04/10/2022**

Name of Appellant

Sh. Ajay Kumar,
R/o H. No. 5067, GF, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh

Concerned CPIO

CPIO/South-West

Date of RTI application

05.08.2022

Date of CPIO's Reply

04.09.2022

Date of 1st Appeal

05.09.2022

ORDER

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal through online RTI portal vide No. PODEP/A/E/22/00107 dated 05.09.2022 against the reply/order of CPIO/South-West in connection with his online RTI application bearing No. PODEP/R/E/22/00591 dated 05.08.2022 with the contention that he has been provided with incomplete, misleading or false information.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION :-

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 05.08.2022 had sought information on 03 points regarding action taken on complaint filed by him, complaint filed by his wife against him and copy of his own statement recorded by officials of PS Maloya on dated 08.05.2022 at 03:30 PM at his flat no. 5067, GF, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/South-West :-

Comments of CPIO/South-West have been obtained who submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office through online RTI portal vide No. PODEP/R/E/22/00591 dated 05.08.2022 for supplying information on 03 points. After obtaining available information from SHO PS Maloya, the same was supplied to appellant vide letter No. 126-RTI/CPIO/South-West dated 03.09.2022 mentioning therein that no written complaint of the appellant was found received in PS Maloya on 08.05.2022. So far as the matter concerns to copy of written complaint made by Smt. Aarti Vohra and Copy of statement of appellant in PS Maloya, Copy of DDR No. 42 dated 08.05.2022 has already provided to the appellant.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/South-West vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that CPIO/South-West vide his letter dated 03.09.2022 has intimated the appellant regarding point No. 01 that no written complaint of the appellant was found received in PS Maloya on 08.05.2022. Regarding point Nos. 2 & 3, copy of DDR no. 42 dated 08.05.2022 PS Maloya lodged regarding complaint-cum-statement signed by both i.e. appellant as well as his wife Aarti Vohra on dated 08.05.2022, has already been provided to the appellant.

As the available information has been provided by the CPIO/South-West, hence, there is neither any delay nor any denial on the part of CPIO. So far as the grievances raised by the appellant in his 1st appeal, are concerned, these are not maintainable under RTI act. Appellant is hereby advised to approach the appropriate authority for the redressal of his grievances.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

Sh. Ajay Kumar,
R/o H. No. 5067, GF, Sector-38 West,
Chandigarh

Copies to:-

- 1 CPIO/South-West
- 2 Computer Section

✓