



**CHANDIGARH POLICE**  
**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE**  
**AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

**Appeal Order No. D- 355- 357**

**/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 11.10.2024**

**Name of Appellant :**

Ms. Brand Ambassador Viyana Berwal  
R/o B-901, Pinecrest Tower, Godrej Garden  
City, Jagatpur, Ahmedabad, Gujarat,  
382470.

**Concerned CPIO :**

CPIO/CPD

**Date of RTI application :**

23.08.2024

**Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal :**

17.09.2024

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred her 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 17.09.2024 against the disposal of her RTI application dated 23.08.2024 by CPIO with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to her.

**Information sought vide RTI application :-**

The appellant vide her RTI application dated 23.08.2024 had sought information i.e. complete details, copies of each and every communication, guidelines, circulars, compliance 17<sup>th</sup> Project Elephant Steering Committee meeting, action taken on its decision and details and copy of proposal, placing and covering under the Emblems and Names (Prevention of Improper Use).

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/CPD:-**

CPIO/CPD vide his comments submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to supply the information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from concerned HAC who reported that sought information does not relates with CPIO and applicant was apprised, accordingly.

**DECISION :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/CPD vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide her RTI application had sought information i.e. complete details, copies of each and every communication, guidelines, circulars, compliance 17<sup>th</sup> Project Elephant Steering Committee meeting, action taken on its decision and details and copy of proposal, placing and covering under the Emblems and Names (Prevention of Improper Use).

In reply, concerned CPIO have apprised that no such information is available in the record.

Now as far as appeal is concerned, appellant is not satisfied with the information provided to him. However, CPIO/CPD has already apprised the appellant that sought information is not available with the CPIO. Hence, no more action is required to be taken on this instant 1<sup>st</sup> appeal.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off accordingly.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of her appeal, she can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

Ms. Brand Ambassador Viyana Berwal  
R/o B-901, Pinecrest Tower, Godrej Garden City,  
Jagatpur, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, 382470.

Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/CPD
- 2 Computer Section