



**CHANDIGARH POLICE**  
**OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup>**  
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005),**  
**UT, CHANDIGARH**

**Appeal Order No. D-415-417** /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 22.11.2021

Name of Appellant : Mr. Sahib Singh,  
R/o # 3540, Sector 23-D, Chd.

Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/PHQ

Date of RTI application : 26.07.2021

Date of CPIO's Reply : 24.09.2021

Date of 1<sup>st</sup> Appeal : 22.10.2021

**ORDER**  
\*\*\*\*\*

The appellant has preferred 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against the reply of CPIO/PHQ in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The appeal was diarized vide No. 113/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 22.10.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

**INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-**

The appellant has sought information on 02 points pertaining to copy of complaint made by Ms. Monika Sharma D/o Sh. Sada Ram at PS-34 in 2020 against Sh. Manmohan Singh working in PGI, Chandigarh and Copy of compromise between the above said parties.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ: -**

CPIO/PHQ submitted that appellant has filed RTI application for obtaining information. Thereafter, report from I/C HAC who reported that on the scrutiny of file, it is found that the required information (i.e. complaint No. 20386/2020) does not directly relates with the applicant and no large public interest is involved for disclosing the same. Therefore, the same was denied u/s 8(1) (j) of RTI Act being personal information of third party.

## **DECISION:-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information 02 points pertaining to complaint No. 20386/2020 i.e. copy of complaint made by Ms. Monika Sharma D/o Sh. Sada Ram at PS-34 in 2020 against Sh. Manmohan Singh working in PGI, Chandigarh and Copy of compromise between the above said parties.

Insofar appeal is concerned; it is clear that appellant is not directly related with the matter i.e. nor complainant neither alleged in the complaint bearing No. 20386/2020. Moreover u/s 11 of RTI Act, both complainant and alleged submitted a written consent for not supplying of their personal details regarding the above said complaint and no larger public interest can be served by disclosing the requisite information, thus, CPIO/PHQ had appropriately applied section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. Accordingly, appeal stand disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



**(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)**  
**Senior Superintendent of Police**  
**Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-**  
**1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.**

1. Mr. Sahib Singh,  
R/o # 3540, Sector 23-D, Chd.
2. CPIO/PHQ
- ✓ 3. Computer Section.