CHANDIGARH POLICE

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.

Appeal Order No. D-440-442 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 07.11.2023

Name of Appellant	:	Sh. Santosh Kumar R/o H. No. 26, Nursery Quarters, Backside Sampark Centre, Sector 23, Chandigarh
Concerned CPIO	:	CPIO/North-East
Date of RTI application	:	30.08.2023
Reply of CPIO	:	18.09.2023
Date of 1st Appeal	:	19.09.2023

ORDER

The appellant has preferred online1st appeal bearing No. PODEP/A/E/23/00108 dated 19.09.2023 against the disposal of his online RTI application bearing No. PODEP/R/E/23/00760/3 dated 30.08.2023 by CPIO/North-East with the contentions that rejection grounds of his sought information may be provided to him.

Information sought vide RTI application :-

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 30.08.2023 had sought information on 03 points i.e. copy of DDR/FIR registered as Seema Vs Santosh Kumar, copy of complaints filed against Santosh Kumar etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/North-East :-

CPIO/North-East vide his comments submitted that RTI application of the appellant was received in his office to supply the requisite information. Thereafter, requisite information was obtained from concerned SHOs of North-East Sub Division who reported that one complaint is found against the applicant Santosh Kumar) at Police Station IT Park and the same is unde enquiry Hence, sought information was denied to the applicant as per provisions of section 8(1)(h) of RTI Act, 2005 vide letter No. 148/RTI/CPIO/North-East/Chandigarh dated 09.09.2023. As far as the appeal of the appellant is concerned, comments of SHO were again sought vide which he submitted that information provided to the applicant i.e. one complaint of wife of applicant (Seema) found to be received in PS IT Park and the same was under enquiry, was wrongly sent to the applicant by mistake without any malafide intention. But now the record of the Police Station IT Park has been scrutinized thoroughly and found that no complaint of Mrs. Seema is found to be lodged in PS IT Park against the appellant.

DECISION :-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/North-East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant vide his RTI application had sought information on 03 points i.e. copy of DDR/FIR registered as Seema Vs Santosh Kumar, copy of complaints filed against Santosh Kumar etc.

In reply, CPIO/North-East had denied the requisite information u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI Act by informing the appellant that the complaint in questions is under enquiry.

So far as the instant appeal appeal is concerned, CPIO vide comments submitted that wrong information was provided to the appellant by mistake of officials of Police Station IT Park but the same was provided without any malafide intention. But now the record of PS IT Park has been scrutinized thoroughly and found that no complaint is filed by Mrs. Seema (wife of appellant) in PS IT Park against the appellant.

In view of above, CPIO/North-East is hereby directed to remain more careful in future while dealing with RTI applications and ensure that correct & authentic information be provided to the applicants under RTI act.

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

Sh. Santosh Kumar
R/o H. No. 26, Nursery Quarters,
Backside Sampark Centre, Sector 23,
Chandigarh Mob-96463-11693

Copies to :-

1 CPIO/North-East
2 Computer Section.