



CHANDIGARH POLICE
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1st
APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. **D-45-47** /UT/RTI/SSP, dated : **11.02-2022**
Name of Appellant : Mr. Rajnish Jain
R/o # Shop No. 48, Palika Bazar, Sector
19, Chandigarh
Concerned CPIOs : CPIO/ PHQ & East
Date of RTI application : 10.11.2021
Date of CPIO's Reply : 03.02.2022
Date of 1st Appeal : 30.12.2021

ORDER

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/PHQ & East in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him and the same was diarized vide No. 149/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 30.12.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

The appellant had sought information on 02 points i.e. i.e. Copy of All the legal opinions in Compliant bearing No. 201702304 & copy of complaints given against Nirmal Sharma and certified copy of forth CFSL Report in Complaint no. 201701811.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ : -

Comments of CPIO/PHQ submitted that complaints in question are pending with CPIO/East, therefore RTI Application was transferred to concerned CPIO vide no. D-158/CPIO/Hqrs. Dated 06.12.2021.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/EAST : -

Comments of CPIO/East submitted that report from SHO-19 has been obtained who submitted that case FIR No. 65/2021 was registered after taking the legal opinion of Id. DDA/Legal opinion on compliant no. 201702304 and the same is under investigation and the information was denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI Act, however, copy of complaints against Nirmal Sharma has been

provided to the applicant and certified copy of 4th CFSL report has already been provided to applicant in the earlier RTI application.

DECISION:-


I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/PHQ & East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on 02 points i.e. i.e. Copy of All the legal opinions in Compliant bearing No. 201702304 & copy of complaints given against Nirmal Sharma and certified copy of forth CFSL Report in Complaint no. 201701811.

Insofar point no. 01 is concerned, CPIO/East has asserted that FIR No. 65/21 has already been registered on the complaint No. 201702304 and the same is still under investigation, therefore, it would hamper the process of investigation at this stage. Hence, CPIO has appropriately denied sought information u/s 8 (1) (h) of RTI Act. However, CPIO/East is hereby directed to supply the copy of complaint filed by applicant against Nirmal Sharma to the appellant.

With regard to point no. 02 appellant has asserted that he has already received CFSL report under his earlier RTI application, therefore, CPIO/East is hereby directed to provide the copy of CFSL report to the applicant.

With these directions, appeal stands disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.


(Manoj Kumar Meena, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Rajnish Jain
R/o # Shop no. 48, Palika Bazar, Sector 19, Chandigarh.
2. CPIO/East
3. Computer Section.