CHANDIGARH POLICE

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUOTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT), UT, CHANDIGARH.

Appeal Order No. D-60-62 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 07.03.2022

Name of Appellant	:	Mr. Ravi Bhatia # 56, Sector-9, Panchkula, Haryana
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/PHQ
Date of RTI application	:	31.12.2021
CPIO/Reply	:	10.01.2022
Date of 1st Appeal	:	16.01.2022

O R D E R

****

The appellant has preferred his 1st appeal against the reply of CPIO/PHQ in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 04/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 16.01.2022.

INFORMATION SOUGHT VIDE RTI APPLICATION:

The appellant had sought information regarding complaints No. PW 2015-14037, 14973 & 2016-02042, 00824 and 03351 i.e. copy of complaints, decision, copy & receipt of complaints made against me by Mr. Pawan Kumar.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/PHQ: -

CPIO CPIO/PHQ submitted that sought information are consigned with HAC Branch. Accordingly, I/C HAC was directed to supply relevant files. After obtaining relevant record, appellant was informed telephonically to collect the requisite information who personally visited the office of undersigned for inspection of complaints. After inspection, appellant gave a written statement that he has already received the same information through another RTI Application NO. 622/CPIO/Hqrs/RTI-21 dated 19.1.2021 but did not found desired documents in the file/record. As per

RTI act, only available can be supplied. Public Information Officer is not supposed to create information at his own.

DECISION:

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information regarding complaint Nos. PW 2015-14037, 14973 & 2016-02042, 00824 and 03351 i.e. copy of complaints, decision, copy & receipt of complaints made by Mr. Pawan Kumar against him.

Now appellant has raised contentions that sought information has been refused by the CPIO.

Insofar as appeal is concerned, CPIO/PHQ has apprised that appellant has visited the office of CPIO for inspection of files/record available with the CPIO and has given gave in written that he has already received the said information through an earlier RTI application but did not found the documents in the files as desired. The grievances of the appellant cannot be redressed under RTI Act as RTI Act is a mechanism for providing available information with the office of CPIO and not to create information. Hence, CPIO/PHQ has appropriately dealt with the appeal. With these observations, appeal stands disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of this appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Ravi Bhatia
   # 56, Sector-09, Panchkula, Haryana
2. CPIO/PHQ
3. Computer Section