



**CHANDIGARH POLICE  
OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE-CUM-1<sup>st</sup> APPELLATE  
AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH.**

Appeal Order No.	D-68-70	/UT/RTI/SSP, dated : 05/02/2025
Name of Appellant	:	Sh. Ashish Shankar R/o Saket Apartment, Flat No. 402, Road Number – 11, East Patel Nagar Bihar, Mob: 94714-78835
Concerned CPIO	:	CPIO/Hqrs.
Date of RTI application	:	19.12.2024
Reply of CPIO	:	15.01.2025
Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	:	16.01.2025

**ORDER**

The appellant has preferred his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 16.01.2025 against the disposal of his RTI application dated 19.12.2024 by CPIO/Hqrs. with the contentions that requisite information was not provided to him.

**Information sought vide RTI application:-**

The appellant vide his RTI application dated 19.12.2024 has raised query/ suggestions in regard to security of Hon'ble Prime Minister of India Sh. Narendra Modi.

**COMMENTS OF CPIO/Hqrs. :-**

CPIO/Hqrs. vide his comments submitted that RTI application was received in his office which contains information in regard to security of Hon'ble Prime Minister of India Sh. Narendra Modi. The application has sought query/suggestions through RTI application which are not entertainable under RTI Act and the same was denied as per the provisions of section 2 (f) of RTI Act. Applicant was apprised vide No. 236/CPIO/Hqrs/Online RTI-24-D-01 dated 14.01.2025.

**DECISION :-**

I have gone through the contents of RTI application, appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/Hqrs. vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that the appellant has raised query/ suggestions in regard to security of Hon'ble Prime Minister of India Sh. Narendra Modi.

In reply, CPIO/Hqrs. has returned the RTI application to the appellant to file his query at right platform as the sought information is not as per the provisions mentioned under section 2 (f) of RTI Act, 2005.



Now as far as the appeal is concerned, CPIO has denied the sought information to the appellant as the appellant has raised queries/ suggestions which are not entertainable under RTI Act and falls outside the purview of section 2(f) of RTI Act. The information sought in the RTI application is vague in nature.

Moreover, Hon'ble Central Information Commission, New Delhi in Complaint No.CIC/RBIND/C/2020/664244 titled as "Shishir Gupta Vs. Reserve Bank of India also cleared the matter as under :-

*"The CPIO cannot create information in the manner as sought by the applicant. The CPIO is only a communicator of information based on the records held in the office and hence, he cannot expected to do research work to deduce anything from the material therein and then supply it to him".*

With these observations, instant appeal stands disposed off.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of his appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.



(Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS)  
Senior Superintendent of Police  
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-  
1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority.

Sh. Ashish Shankar,  
R/o Saket Apartment, Flat No. 402,  
Road Number 11, East Patel Nagar  
Bihar, Pin – 800023  
Mobile No. 94714-78835

Copies to :-

- 1 CPIO/Hqrs.
- 2 ~~Computer Section.~~