

# OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HQs.-CUM-APPELLATE AUTHORITY- INTELLIGENCE (CID), UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

### ORDER

## Order on First Appeal u/s 19 RTI Act.

This is an order on an online First Appeal bearing no. PODEP/A/E/22/00111 dated 16.09.2022, preferred by Sh. Vizant Kumar, RO House No. 174, Sector 30, Chandigarh under RTI Act 2005, received in office online through RTI Request & Appeal Management Information System (RTI-MIS), against disposal of his online RTI Application bearing no. PODEP/R/E/22/00681 by CPIO/CID.

- 2. The appellant, vide his application dated 01.09.2022, under RTI Act, had requested RTI Nodal Officer PHQ to supply him some information on 06 points regarding Police verification of Sh. Amandeep Singh S/o Sh. Sunil Kumar (Roll No. 120220001) (Character and antecedent verification) conducted by Chandigarh Police. Nodal Officer transferred the online RTI application to CPIO/CID for disposal at their own level being the custodian of the information.
- 3. The appellant, not satisfied with the manner in which his online RTI application bearing No. PODEP/R/E/22/00681, was disposed of by CPIO/CID, has filed the instant appeal through RTI Request & Appeal Management Information System (RTI-MIS), alleging therein that CPIO/CID has not supplied the requisite information.
- 4. The comments of DSP-cum-CPIO/CID have been called for. The appellant Sh. Vizant Kumar has also been given a thorough hearing by the undersigned on 11.10.2022.
- 5. The undersigned have gone through the RTI appeal, vis-a-vis facts of the RTI application & comments of CPIO/CID. The information sought by the appellant, supplied by the CPIO, grievance of the appellant and the order/decision of the undersigned being first appellate authority is given below:-

Information sought in RTI application	Information supplied by CPIO	Grievance raised in first appeal	Decision of the First Appellate Authority.
Point No.1  Name, Designation and Belt No. of the Police Person who had conducted the Police verification of the above mentioned candidate  Point No.2  Name, Designation and Belt No. of the Chandigarh Police Officer who works as Reporting officer for the police person in respect of Serial No. 01 above.	Point No.1 to 6 As per the provision of Section 11 of the RTI Act., Sh. Amandeep Singh S/o Sh. Sunil Kumar has been informed through telephonically to submit his consent regarding sought information. In response, he has submitted his written consent mention	provided whereas non of the personal information related to any third	CPIO/CID has submitted that the present appellant

#### Point No.3

Name and Address of the police station which perform required duty in of respect police verification of the above candidate. Name of the S.H.O. during the period of police verification.

#### Point No. 4

Name, Designation and Belt No./Officer number of the Competent Authority who was Signing Authority in respect of Final Police Verification Report of the said candidate.

#### Point No.5

List of Parameters or Criteria (only name of Parameters/Criteria) which were verified by the Chandigarh Police during the complete process of Police Verification (Character Antecedent Verification) of the said candidate.

#### Point No. 6

List of Parameters Criteria(only name of Parameters/Criteria) which were referred to other state Police department other related departments for verification during complete process Police Verification (Character and Antecedent Verification) of the said candidate..

therein that his personal information remains hidden and not to provide anything to anybody.

sought information has no relationship with any public activity public interest. Keeping in view, the provision of section 11 of RTI Act and written submission of Sh. Amandeep Singh the requisite

information/document s sought by appellant is denied under section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act-2005 being third party information.

PODEP/R/E/22/00681. the Designation, Belt no. of the Police personals was requested and the duties performed by Police personal should not be considered as personal

information of any third party. Similarly, Name of the Police station and concerned SHO should not to be considered as personal information of any third Also. requested at serial no. 05 & 06 of the RTI request

is not related to any kind of personal information as only the Name of parameters/Criteria adopted by the police

department requested and remarks/details of verification done by Chandigarh police are required. Reply to RTI request by Chandigarh police clearly implies that department is not willing to provide the requested information and probably included/obtained unwilling from

person/party so that the

can be denied whereas

no third party information is requested in RTI

information

requested

application.

sought information, but he denied to provide information, being third party information. Therefore, the information sought in point No. 1,2,4,5 & 6 cannot be provided.

### Point No. 3

party.

information

The information sought in point No. 3 is public information. Hence, CPIO/CID is directed to provide the information regarding point No. 3 to the appellant as per record.

- 6. The appeal is hereby disposed of.
- 7. The appellant, if not satisfied with the disposal of appeal in the manner as above can file second appeal to the Hon'ble Chief Information Commission, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munrika, New Delhi-110067 within a period of 90 days.

Manoj Kumar Meena Sr. Superintendent of Police, HQs., -cum-Appellate Authority (CID), Under RTI Act, U.T., Chandigarh.

Sh. Vizant Kumar, R/O House No. 174, Sector 30, Chandigarh

No. D-769 - 71 /RTI/SSP/HQs. dated Chandigarh, the: - 11 x 2022. Copies to the: -

1. DSP- cum-CPIO/CID for necessary action. I/C Computer Section to upload on website.