CHANDIGARH POLICE

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE–CUM-1st APPELLATE AUTHORITY (UNDER RTI ACT 2005), UT, CHANDIGARH

Appeal Order No. D-487-489 **/UT/RTI/SSP, dated :** 28.12.2021

Name of Appellant	:	Mr. Ranjeet Singh, # 1444, Sector-45, Chandigarh
Concerned CPIOs	:	CPIO/East
Date of RTI application	:	21.10.2021
Date of CPIO's Reply	:	01.11.2021
Date of 1st Appeal	:	01.12.2021

O R D E R

* * * * * * *

The appellant has preferred 1st appeal against the reply/order of CPIO/East in connection with his RTI application with the contentions that requisite information was not supplied to him. The instant appeal was diarized vide No. 135/UT/RTI/FAA/SSP dated 01.12.2021 for further proceeding under RTI Act.

INFORMATION SOUGHT IN RTI APPLICATION:-

Appellant had sought information on six points regarding complaint No. PW 202119782 i.e. copy of all notices, copies of all statements, date on which statements recorded etc.

COMMENTS OF CPIO/East: -

CPIO/East submitted that application of the appellant was received online for supplying requisite information. After obtaining the requisite information from DSP/North-East & SHO-Manimajra, requisite information was denied u/s 8(1)(h) of RTI Act as complaint in question is still under enquiry. Appellant has been apprised vide memo no. 393/CPIO/East dated 01.11.2021.

DECISION:-

I have gone through the contents of RTI application & appeal of the appellant, comments of CPIO/East vis-à-vis material available on record which revealed that appellant had sought information on six points regarding complaint No. PW 202119782 i.e. copy of all notices, copies of all statements, date on which statements recorded etc.

Insofar as point Nos. 1 to 4 of appeal are concerned, from the perusal the report of CPIO/East, it is clear that complaint in question i.e. 202119782 is still under enquiry so requisite information on these points cannot be supplied at

this stage, Thus, CPIO/East has aptly applied section 8(1) (h) of RTI Act. However, name of the EO can be supplied, accordingly CPIO is directed to furnish the same to appellant.

With regard to point no. 05, appellant has sought information in questionnaire form which cannot be entertained under RTI Act, as RTI Act is only meant for providing information which is held by CPIO. Hence, sought information is not covered under the definition of 'Information' and 'Right to Information' delineated u/s 2(f) & (j) of RTI Act.

As far as point no. 06 is concerned, appellant has sought personal information of SI Dilawar Singh which cannot be disclosed being third party , moreover, no larger public interest is seen for disclosing the personal information of SI Dilawar Singh; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied u/s 8(1)(j) of RTI Act.

With these directions, appeal stands disposed of.

In case, the appellant is not satisfied with the disposal of appeal, he can file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 under the RTI Act within 90 days.

(Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS)
Senior Superintendent of Police
Union Territory, Chandigarh-cum-
1st Appellate Authority.

1. Mr. Ranjeet Singh,
   # 1444, Sector-45, Chandigarh
2. CPIO/East
3. Computer Section.